

On the three-dimensional Blaschke-Lebesgue problem

Henri Anciaux*, Brendan Guilfoyle

Abstract

The width of a closed convex subset of Euclidean space is the distance between two parallel supporting planes. The Blaschke-Lebesgue problem consists of minimizing the volume in the class of convex sets of fixed constant width and is still open in dimension $n \geq 3$. In this paper we describe a necessary condition that the minimizer of the Blaschke-Lebesgue must satisfy in dimension $n = 3$: we prove that the smooth components of the boundary of the minimizer have their smaller principal curvature constant, and therefore are either spherical caps or pieces of tubes (canal surfaces).

2000 MSC: 52A15, 52A40

Introduction

The width of a convex body B in n -dimensional Euclidean space in the direction \vec{u} is the distance between the two supporting planes of B which are orthogonal to \vec{u} . When this distance is independent of \vec{u} , B is said to have *constant width*.

The ratio $\mathcal{I}(B)$ of the volume of a constant width body to the volume of the ball of the same width is homothety invariant, as is the isoperimetric ratio. Moreover the maximum of $\mathcal{I}(B)$ is attained by round spheres, just as the minimum of the isoperimetric ratio. However, while the latter is not bounded from above, the infimum of \mathcal{I} is strictly positive, since compactness properties of the space of convex sets ensures the existence of a minimizer. It is known by the work of Blaschke and Lebesgue that the *Reuleaux triangle*, obtained by taking the intersection of three discs centered at the vertices of an equilateral triangle, minimizes \mathcal{I} in dimension $n = 2$. The determination of the minimizer of \mathcal{I} in any dimension is the *Blaschke-Lebesgue problem*.

Recently several simpler solutions of the problem in dimension 2 have been given (see [Ba],[Ha]), however the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem in dimension $n \geq 3$ appears to be very difficult to solve and remains open. A crucial step in solving the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem in dimension $n = 2$ consists of proving that the boundary of the minimizer is made of arc of circles of radius equal to the width, hence the smooth parts of the boundary have constant curvature.

*The first author is supported by SFI (Research Frontiers Program)

In this paper we give a property of the minimizer of the Blaschke-Lebesgue in dimension $n = 3$ which generalizes the constant curvature condition observed in dimension $n = 2$:

Main Theorem: *Let B be a local minimizer of the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem in \mathbb{R}^3 with constant width $2w$. The smooth parts of its boundary have their smaller principal curvature constant and equal to $1/2w$.*

It is easily seen that the boundary of a constant width body in \mathbb{R}^3 cannot be made only of spherical caps, so the minimizer of the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem must have a more complicated geometry. On the other hand, K. Shiohama and R. Takagi proved in [ST] that a non spherical surface with one constant principal curvature must be a canal surface, i.e. the envelope of a one-parameter family of spheres, or equivalently a tube over a curve (i.e. the set of points which lie at a fixed distance from this curve). Thus the main theorem implies the following:

Corollary: *Let B be a local minimizer of the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem in \mathbb{R}^3 with constant width $2w$. The smooth parts of its boundary are spherical caps or pieces of tubes, both of them with radius equal to the width $2w$ of B .*

Hence the problem is reduced to a kind of combinatoric one: minimize the volume among the convex bodies whose boundary is made of spherical caps and pieces of tubes, all of them of the same radius.

We observe that the constant width body having the best known ratio \mathcal{I} , Meissner body ([CG], [GK], [Ba]) satisfies this criterium: it is made of four spherical caps centered at the vertices of a tetrahedron, and three tubes over three arc of circles. Therefore we cannot discard the possibility that it is the solution of problem, although one might expect the minimizer to have tetrahedral symmetry. Another interesting constant width body is the one obtained by rotation of the Reuleaux triangle about an axis of symmetry. It is known that the latter minimizes the ratio \mathcal{I} among constant width bodies with rotational symmetry (see [CCG], [AG]). It is interesting to note that this body satisfies our criterion as well: one part of its boundary is a spherical part, and the other one is a tube over an arc of circle. However it has a bigger ratio \mathcal{I} than Meissner's, which in particular proves that the solution of the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem does not have rotational symmetry.

As in [Ba], [Ha] and [AG], our proof is based on the analysis of the support function s which characterizes a convex body B of constant width $2w$. The first point consists of evaluating the volume of B and the area of its boundary in terms of w and the function $h = s - w$ (Theorem 2). Our formula allows us in particular to prove easily the famous Blaschke formula, a functional relation between the volume, the area and the width of B , and to recover the fact that the ratio \mathcal{I} is maximized by round spheres. A crucial point is then the following observation, stated in [GK]: flowing the boundary of a convex body along its inward unit normal vector field preserves the constant width condition, as long as the evolving surface remains convex. Moreover, the ratio \mathcal{I} decreases along the flow, so the minimizer of \mathcal{I} must occur at the latest time such that convexity holds, and therefore must be singular. This issue is easily controlled since the function h is invariant along the normal flow,

while the width $2w$ decreases linearly. Thus, there exists a positive number $w_0(h)$ such that for any $w \geq w_0(h)$, the function $s = h + w$ is the support function of some convex body of constant width $2w$. Hence, we can restrict the minimization process to the class of support functions of the form $s = h + w_0(h)$, while all the necessary information is carried by the function h . The main theorem is then obtained as follows: assuming that the smaller principal curvature is not constant on some smooth part of the boundary, we compute the second variation of \mathcal{I} for a suitable local deformation of h to get a contradiction.

1 The geometry of constant width bodies

Let B be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and denote by s its support function, i.e. $s(u) = \sup_{x \in B} \langle u, x \rangle, \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then the width $w(u)$ of B in the direction u is related to the support function by the following formula:

$$2w(u) = s(u) + s(-u),$$

where $-u$ is the antipodal point of u in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . It is known (see [Ho], [Ba]) that if B has constant width it must be strictly convex; moreover it is proven in [Ho] that the support function s of a constant width body is $C^{1,1}$, i.e. it admits first derivatives which are Lipschitz continuous. By the Rademacher theorem, it follows that the second derivatives are well defined almost everywhere and bounded. This fact will be important later on since the geometry of the boundary of B will be expressed in terms of the Hessian of h .

If B is a strictly convex body in \mathbb{R}^n whose support function s belongs to $C^{1,1}$, the following map

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ u &\mapsto s(u) \cdot u + \nabla s(u) \end{aligned}$$

is a parametrization of its boundary and u is the Gauss map of ∂B .

Given an arbitrary strictly convex body B let $w \in \mathbb{R}$ be the mean of its support function s on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} :

$$w := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} s(u) dA}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} dA},$$

where dA denotes the canonical volume form on \mathbb{S}^n , and introduce the zero mean map $h := s - w$.

Then B has constant width $2w$ if and only if the function h is odd, i.e.

$$h(u) + h(-u) = 0.$$

The following inequality will be crucial for us:

Proposition 1 (Wirtinger inequality) *Let $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ with vanishing mean and dA the volume element on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(h) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} |\nabla h|^2 - h^2 \right) dA \geq 0,$$

with equality if h is a first eigenfunction of the Laplacian on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

This result is easily proved once the theory of spherical harmonics, generalizing Fourier analysis to higher dimension, is developed (see [GW], p. 1288).

From now on, we restrict ourselves to the case of dimension 3. Our first step consists of expressing the local geometry of the boundary of a convex body B in terms of the data (h, w) . We recall that the Hessian of h is the symmetric tensor defined by $Hess(h)(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla h, Y \rangle$, where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the canonical metric of \mathbb{S}^2 . The two invariants of $Hess(h)$ are its trace, which is the well known Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ and its determinant, that we shall denote in the following by $H(h)$.

Theorem 1 *The area element of ∂B , denoted by $d\bar{A}$, is given by:*

$$d\bar{A} = (w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)dA,$$

where we set

$$\alpha := 2h + \Delta h \quad \beta := h^2 + h\Delta h + H(h).$$

Moreover, its principal curvatures k_1 and k_2 , whenever they exist, take the following form:

$$k_{1,2} = \frac{2w + \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)}.$$

In the case where B has constant width, we deduce the following formulas for its volume $\mathcal{V}(B)$ and the area of its boundary $\mathcal{A}(\partial B)$:

Theorem 2 *Let B be a convex body of constant width $2w$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then:*

$$\mathcal{V}(B) = \frac{4\pi}{3}w^3 - w\mathcal{E}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\partial B) = 4\pi w^2 - \mathcal{E}(h).$$

This allows us to recover the famous Blaschke formula, a functional relation between the volume, the area and the width:

Corollary 1 (Blaschke formula) *Let B be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^3 of constant width $2w$. Then:*

$$\mathcal{V}(B) = w\mathcal{A}(\partial B) - \frac{8}{3}\pi w^3.$$

The proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 are postponed at the end of the paper (Sections 4 and 5).

2 The Blaschke-Lebesgue problem

Let B be a convex body of constant width $2w$ and denote by B_w the ball of radius w . Introduce the ratio

$$\mathcal{I}(B) = \frac{\mathcal{V}(B)}{\mathcal{V}(B_w)} = \frac{\mathcal{V}(B)}{4\pi w^3/3}.$$

By Theorem 2, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(B) = \mathcal{I}(h, w) = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}(h)}{4\pi w^2/3}.$$

It follows from the Wirtinger inequality that the ratio $\mathcal{I}(B)$ is less than or equal to 1 and the equality is attained when h is a first eigenfunction of the Laplacian. It is the case of balls $B = B_w$. Moreover, for a given h , \mathcal{I} increases with respect to w . Hence it reaches its minimum at the lowest value of w such that $h + w = s$ is the support function of a convex body; we define $w_0(h)$ to be this crucial quantity. Increasing (resp. decreasing) the value of w corresponds geometrically to flow the boundary of B parallelly to itself, i.e. along its outward (resp. inward) unit vector. Therefore the map h corresponds to a one-parametre family of parallel surfaces, labelled by the parametre $w \in [w_0(h), \infty)$. The inward unit normal flow can be continued as long as the surface is smooth. By Theorem 1, this is equivalent to the fact that the area element $d\bar{A}$ is strictly positive. Hence, we deduce an explicit expression for $w_0(h)$:

$$w_0(h) = \inf \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^+ / w^2 + \alpha w + \beta > 0 \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{S}^2 \right\}$$

and the convex body B corresponding to $s = h + w_0(h)$ is always singular.

Remark 1 *One can check that $w_0(h) = \|W(h)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)}$, where*

$$W(h)(u) := \frac{-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta}}{2}.$$

The directions u of \mathbb{S}^2 where the area element vanishes corresponds precisely to points $f(u)$ of the boundary which are singular. The next theorem shows that in the case of a local minimizer of \mathcal{I} , such situation actually occurs for almost every pair of antipodal directions $(u, -u)$. We point out that this result is roughly equivalent to one of the main results of [BLO] (Theorem 6).

Theorem 3 *Let $(h, w_0(h))$ be a local minimizer of $\mathcal{I}(h, w)$. Then for almost every pair of antipodal points $(u, -u)$ of \mathbb{S}^2 , at one of the points u and $-u$, the area element $d\bar{A}$ vanishes.*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction assuming that there is an open subset A of \mathbb{S}^2 such that $(w_0(h))^2 + \alpha w_0(h) + \beta > 0$ almost everywhere in $A \cup (-A)$. Consider a smooth map v such that $v(u) + v(-u) = 0, \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and whose support is contained in A and define the deformation $h^\epsilon := h + \epsilon v$ of h . For small ϵ ,

$$w_0(h^\epsilon) = w_0(h),$$

hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(h^\epsilon)}{w_0^2(h^\epsilon)} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(h)}{w_0^2(h)} + \epsilon \frac{\delta\mathcal{E}(h, v)}{w_0^2(h)} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\delta^2\mathcal{E}(h, v)}{w_0^2(h)} + o(\epsilon^2).$$

As h is a minimizer of \mathcal{I} , and thus a maximizer of $\mathcal{E}(h)/w_0^2(h)$, we must have both $\delta\mathcal{E}(h, v) = 0$ and $\delta^2\mathcal{E}(h, v) \leq 0$. On the other hand the functional \mathcal{E} is quadratic, so that $\delta^2\mathcal{E}(h, v) = \mathcal{E}(v)$, which is positive by the Wirtinger inequality (Proposition 1). Finally, the support of v being contained in A , v cannot be a eigenfunction of the Laplacian and we get the required contradiction.

3 Proof of the main theorem

We are now in position to prove our main result: assume that B is a local minimizer of $\mathcal{I}(B)$ and let h be the associated map. For sake of brevity we set $w := w_0(h)$ in the following. Let A be an open subset of \mathbb{S}^2 such that $f(A)$ is a smooth part of ∂B . Hence by Theorem 3, $f(-A)$ is singular, i.e.

$$w^2 + \alpha(-u)w + \beta(-u) = 0, \forall u \in A.$$

Since α is odd and β is even, it follows that $w^2 - \alpha(u)w + \beta(u) = 0, \forall u \in A$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} k_{1,2} &= \frac{2w + \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)} = \frac{2w + \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\alpha w + 4w^2}}{2(w^2 + \alpha w + (\alpha w - w^2))} \\ &= \frac{2w + \alpha \pm |\alpha - 2w|}{4\alpha w}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $k_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $k_2 = \frac{1}{2w}$. Hence the principal curvature k_2 is constant on A and equal to the inverse of the width $2w$ of B . Finally, since $\alpha(u) \geq 0$, we have

$$2w = -\alpha(-u) + \sqrt{\alpha^2(-u) - 4\beta(-u)} = \alpha(u) + \sqrt{\alpha^2(u) - 4\beta(u)} \geq \alpha,$$

so $\frac{1}{2w} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, that is k_2 is the smaller curvature and the proof is complete.

4 The local geometry of ∂B (proof of Theorem 1)

Let $(x, y)(u)$ be an isothermic coordinate chart from an some dense subset of \mathbb{S}^2 into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^2 (for example the stereographic projection onto $U = \mathbb{R}^2$) and denote by e^r the conformal factor, i.e. $e^r = |\partial_x| = |\partial_y|$. In particular the area element is given by $dA = e^r dx dy$. The coefficients of the Hessian of h in the coordinates (x, y) are:

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= e^{-2r} \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h, \partial_x \rangle, \\ b &:= e^{-2r} \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h, \partial_y \rangle = e^{-2r} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, \partial_x \rangle, \\ c &:= e^{-2r} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, \partial_y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the boundary of ∂B is parametrized by $f(u) = s(u)u + \nabla s(u) = s(u)u + \nabla h(u)$. In order to compute the first derivatives of f , we use the Gauss

formula of the embedding of the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{R}^3 , which relates the flat connection D of \mathbb{R}^3 to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on the sphere:

$$(D_X Y)(u) = (\nabla_X Y)(u) + \langle X, Y \rangle u.$$

It follows that

$$f_x = s\partial_x + \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h = (s+a)\partial_x + b\partial_y$$

and

$$f_y = s\partial_y + \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h = b\partial_x + (s+c)\partial_y.$$

The trace $a+c = \Delta h$ and the determinant $H(h) := ac - b^2$ of the Hessian matrix of h are intrinsic quantities, i.e. they depend only on the metric on \mathbb{S}^2 , and not on the choice of coordinates.

We then compute the coefficients of the first fundamental form of the immersion f :

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \langle f_x, f_x \rangle = ((s+a)^2 + b^2)e^{2r}, & F &:= \langle f_x, f_y \rangle = (2s+a+c)be^{2r}, \\ G &:= \langle f_y, f_y \rangle = ((s+c)^2 + b^2)e^{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{EG - F^2}e^{-2r} &= \left(((s+a)^2 + b^2)((s+c)^2 + b^2) - 4(s+a+s+c)^2b^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= (s^2 + s(a+c) + ac - b^2) = (w^2 + (2h+a+c)w + h^2 + (a+c)h + ac - b^2). \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce the first part of Theorem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{A} &= \sqrt{EG - F^2}dx dy = \sqrt{EG - F^2}e^{-2r}dA \\ &= \left((w^2 + (2h + \Delta h)w + h^2 + h\Delta h + H(h)) \right) dA. \end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate the coefficients of the second fundamental form: since $N(u) = u$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} l &:= \langle \partial_x N(u), f_x \rangle = \langle \partial_x u, f_x \rangle = e^{2r}(s+a), \\ m &:= \langle \partial_x N(u), f_y \rangle = \langle \partial_x u, f_y \rangle = e^{2r}b, \\ n &:= \langle \partial_y N(u), f_y \rangle = \langle \partial_y u, f_y \rangle = e^{2r}(s+c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} lG + nE - 2mF &= e^{4r} \left((s+a)((s+c)^2 + b^2) + (s+c)((s+a)^2 + b^2) - 2b^2(2s+a+c) \right) \\ &= e^{4r} \left((s+a)(s+c)(2s+a+c) - b^2(2s+a+c) \right) \\ &= e^{4r}(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)(2w + \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$ln - m^2 = e^{4r} \left((s+c)(s+a) - b^2 \right) = e^{4r} (w^2 + \alpha w + \beta).$$

Thus, at a point $f(u)$ where $d\bar{A}$ does not vanish, the mean curvature and the Gaussian curvature of ∂B are given by

$$2H = \frac{lG + nE - 2mF}{EG - F^2} = \frac{2w + \alpha}{w^2 + \alpha w + \beta}$$

and

$$K = \frac{ln - m^2}{EG - F^2} = \frac{1}{w^2 + \alpha w + \beta},$$

so that

$$H^2 - K = \frac{(2w + \alpha)^2 - 4(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)}{4(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)^2} = \frac{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}{4(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)^2}.$$

Hence the principal curvatures k_1 and k_2 of the immersion at the point $f(u)$ are

$$k_{1,2} = H \pm \sqrt{H^2 - K} = \frac{2w + \alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta)}.$$

5 Volume and area of ∂B (proof of Theorem 2)

The only tricky part of the proof is the following lemma:

Lemma 1 *If B has constant width,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} H(h) dA = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dA.$$

Proof. Denoting by j the complex structure on \mathbb{S}^2 , we have $j\partial_x = \partial_y$, $j\partial_y = -\partial_x$. The proof is based on the following formula for the curvature tensor on the sphere:

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle Y, Z \rangle \langle X, W \rangle$$

with $X = \partial_x$, $Y = \partial_y$, $Z = \nabla h$, $W = j\nabla h$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_U (\langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle Y, Z \rangle \langle X, W \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_U (\langle \partial_x, \nabla h \rangle \langle j\partial_x, j\nabla h \rangle - \langle \partial_y, \nabla h \rangle \langle \partial_y, j\nabla h \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_U (h_x^2 + h_y^2) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dA. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that j is parallel, i.e. $\nabla_X jY = j\nabla_X Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_U \langle R(\partial_x, \partial_y)\nabla h, j\nabla h \rangle dx dy = \int_U (\langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h, j\nabla h \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, j\nabla h \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_U (-\langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h, \nabla_{\partial_y} j\nabla h \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, \nabla_{\partial_x} j\nabla h \rangle) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_U (-\langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h, j \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, j \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h \rangle) dx dy \\
&= 2 \int_U \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \nabla h, j \nabla_{\partial_x} \nabla h \rangle dx dy \\
&= 2 \int_U \langle (b \partial_x + c \partial_y), (-b \partial_x + a \partial_y) \rangle dx dy \\
&= 2 \int_U (ac - b^2) e^{2r} dx dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} H(h) dA,
\end{aligned}$$

hence the proof of the lemma is complete.

In order to calculate the volume of B , we use the divergence theorem. Recalling that u is the unit outward vector of the smooth parts of ∂B , and that $f(u) = s(u)u + \nabla h(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}(B) &= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle f(u), u \rangle d\bar{A} = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} s(u) d\bar{A} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (h + w)(w^2 + \alpha w + \beta) dA \\
&= \frac{w^3}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} dA + \frac{w^2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (3h + \Delta h) dA + \frac{w}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (3h^2 + 2h\Delta h + H(h)) dA \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (h^3 + h^2 \Delta h + hH(h)) dA.
\end{aligned}$$

Since h has zero mean, the coefficient of w^2 vanishes. Moreover, the constant width condition, i.e. the oddness of h , implies that all the cubic expressions of h and its second derivatives are odd and hence have zero mean. Thus the constant term vanishes. Finally, using Green formula and Lemma 1, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}(B) &= \frac{w^3}{3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} dA + w \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(h^2 + \left(-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \right) |\nabla h|^2 \right) dA \\
&= \frac{4\pi w^3}{3} - w \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 - h^2 \right) dA \\
&= \frac{4\pi w^3}{3} - w \mathcal{E}(h),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the required formula.

The computation of the area of ∂B uses Lemma 1 as well and is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\partial B) &= \int_{\partial B} d\bar{A} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (w^2 + (2h + \Delta h)w + (h^2 + h\Delta h + H(h))) dA \\
&= w^2 A(\mathbb{S}^2) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} h^2 dA + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dA - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla h|^2 dA \\
&= 4\pi^2 - \mathcal{E}(h).
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [AG] H. Anciaux, N. Georgiou, *The Blaschke-Lebesgue problem for constant width bodies of revolution*, arXiv:0903.4284.
- [Ba] T. Bayen, PhD Dissertation, *Optimisation de formes dans la classe des corps de largeur constante et des rotors*, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, 2007.
- [BLO] T. Bayen, T. Lachand-Robert, E. Oudet, *Analytic parametrizations and volume mimimization of three dimensional bodies of constant width*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **186** (2007), no. 2, 225–249.
- [CCG] S. Campi, A. Colesanti, P. Gronchi, *Minimum problems for volumes of convex bodies*, in *Partial differential equations and applications*, Vol. 177 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, New York, 1996, 43-55.
- [CG] G.D. Chakerian, H. Groemer, *Convex bodies of constant width*, in *Convexity and its applications* (Ed. P. Gruber and J. Wills) Birkhäuser, Basel 1983, 49-96.
- [GK] B. Guilfoyle, W. Klingenberg *On C^2 -smooth surfaces of constant width*, Tbilisi Math. Journal. **1**(2009), 1–17.
- [GW] P.-M. Gruber, J.-M. Wills, *Handbook of convex geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [Ha] E. Harrell, II., *A direct proof of a theorem of Blaschke and Lebesgue*, J. Geom. Anal. **12** (2002), no 1, 81-88.
- [Ho] R. Howard, *Convex bodies of constant width and constant brightness*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), no 1, 241-261.
- [ST] K. Shiohama, R. Takagi, *A characterization of a standard torus in E^3* , J. Diff. Geom. **4** (1970), 477–485.

Henri Anciaux, Brendan Guilfoyle
Department of Mathematics and Computing
Institute of Technology, Tralee
Co. Kerry, Ireland
henri.anciaux@staff.ittralee.ie brendan.guilfoyle@ittralee.ie