

A complete bounded complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^2

Antonio Alarcón

Universidad de Granada

Joint work with Francisco J. López

Variational problems and Geometric PDE's

Granada, June 21st 2013

Aim

The aim of this talk is to show that

*any convex domain of \mathbb{C}^2 carries complete properly **embedded** complex curves.*

In particular,

*there exist **complete bounded embedded** complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 .*

Aim and reference

The aim of this talk is to show that

*any convex domain of \mathbb{C}^2 carries complete properly **embedded** complex curves.*

In particular,

*there exist **complete bounded embedded** complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 .*

- **Antonio Alarcón** and **Francisco J. López**, *Complete bounded embedded complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2* . Preprint May 2013 (arXiv:1305.2118).

Introduction

- (General position) If \mathcal{R} is an open Riemann surface, then any holomorphic function $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ can be approximated in compact subsets by holomorphic embeddings.

Introduction

- (General position) If \mathcal{R} is an open Riemann surface, then any holomorphic function $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ can be approximated in compact subsets by holomorphic embeddings.
- Self-intersections of complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 (generically, isolated double points) are stable under deformations.

Conjecture (Forster 1967, Bell-Narasimhan 1990)

Every open Riemann surface admits a *proper* holomorphic *embedding* into \mathbb{C}^2 .

Conjecture (Forster 1967, Bell-Narasimhan 1990)

Every open Riemann surface admits a *proper holomorphic embedding* into \mathbb{C}^2 .

- Remmert 1956, Narasimhan 1960, Bishop 1961 Every open Riemann surface admits
 - a proper holomorphic *embedding* into \mathbb{C}^3 , and
 - a proper holomorphic *immersion* into \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Forstnerič-Wold 2009-2011 If a *bordered* Riemann surface embeds in \mathbb{C}^2 then it properly embeds. Every *circled domain* in the Riemann sphere properly embeds.
- A-López 2011 The problem is purely complex analytic: there are no topological obstructions.

Question (Yang 1977)

Do there exist *complete* holomorphic embeddings $\mathbf{M}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $k < n$, which have a *bounded* image?

Question (Yang 1977)

Do there exist *complete* holomorphic embeddings $\mathbf{M}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $k < n$, which have a *bounded* image?

- Jones 1979 Yes! $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, $\mathbf{M}^1 = \mathbb{D}$.
- A-Forstnerič 2012 $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, \mathbf{M}^1 any given bordered Riemann surface.

Question (Yang 1977)

Do there exist *complete* holomorphic embeddings $\mathbf{M}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $k < n$, which have a *bounded* image?

- Jones 1979 Yes! $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, $\mathbf{M}^1 = \mathbb{D}$.
- A-Forstnerič 2012 $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, \mathbf{M}^1 any given bordered Riemann surface.
- A-Forstnerič 2012 $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3k$, $\mathbf{M}^k = \mathbb{D}^k$.

Question (Yang 1977)

Do there exist *complete* holomorphic embeddings $\mathbf{M}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $k < n$, which have a *bounded* image?

- Jones 1979 Yes! $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, $\mathbf{M}^1 = \mathbb{D}$.
- A-Forstnerič 2012 $k = 1$, $n \geq 3$, \mathbf{M}^1 any given bordered Riemann surface.
- A-Forstnerič 2012 $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3k$, $\mathbf{M}^k = \mathbb{D}^k$.

Question

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, what is the smallest n ?

Question

What about the case $k = 1$ and $n = 2$? Do there exist *complete bounded embedded* complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 ?

- **Main Problem:** Self-intersections of complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 are stable under deformations.
- There are plenty of complete bounded *immersed* complex curves in \mathbb{C}^2 :
 - Jones 1979 Simply-connected examples.
 - Martín-Umehara-Yamada 2009 Examples with finite topology.
 - A-López 2012 Examples with any given (possibly infinite) topology.
 - A-Forstnerič 2012 Examples normalized by any given bordered Riemann surface.

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ Bell-Narasimhan conjecture.

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ Bell-Narasimhan conjecture.
- The topology of the curves in the theorem is **NOT controlled**; possibly infinite genus. Compare with the **Calabi-Yau problem for embedded minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3** .

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ Bell-Narasimhan conjecture.
- The topology of the curves in the theorem is **NOT controlled**; possibly infinite genus. Compare with the Calabi-Yau problem for embedded minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 .

	minimal surfaces \mathbb{R}^3		
finite	Colding-Minicozzi		
genus	Meeks-Pérez-Ros \neq		
infinite			
genus	?		

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ Bell-Narasimhan conjecture.
- The topology of the curves in the theorem is **NOT controlled**; possibly infinite genus. Compare with the Calabi-Yau problem for embedded minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 .

	minimal surfaces \mathbb{R}^3		null curves \mathbb{C}^3
finite	Colding-Minicozzi		A-López
genus	Meeks-Pérez-Ros \exists		A-Forstnerič \exists
infinite			AL-AF
genus	?		\exists

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ Bell-Narasimhan conjecture.
- The topology of the curves in the theorem is **NOT controlled**; possibly infinite genus. Compare with the [Calabi-Yau problem for embedded minimal surfaces in \$\mathbb{R}^3\$](#) .

	minimal surfaces \mathbb{R}^3	complex curves \mathbb{C}^2	null curves \mathbb{C}^3
finite	Colding-Minicozzi		A-López
genus	Meeks-Pérez-Ros \exists	?	A-Forstnerič \exists
infinite		Our theorem	AL-AF
genus	?	\exists	\exists

Main Theorem

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

Corollary

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist

- complete bounded *embedded* complex k -dimensional submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^{2k} , and
- complete bounded *embedded* complex k -dimensional submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^{4k} with strongly negative holomorphic sectional curvature. (Topic in *Yang's paper*.)

Theorem

Any *convex domain* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ carries *complete properly embedded complex curves*.

- Now it is the time to explain the primary idea of the proof!

Image completeness

- **Idea:** Take an already known **immersed** example and **desingularize** it (replacing every normal crossing in the curve by an embedded annulus).

Image completeness

- **Idea:** Take an already known **immersed** example and **desingularize** it (replacing every normal crossing in the curve by an embedded annulus).
Problem: Completeness is **NOT preserved** under desingularization procedures.

Image completeness

- **Idea:** Take an already known **immersed** example and **desingularize** it (replacing every normal crossing in the curve by an embedded annulus).
Problem: Completeness is **NOT preserved** under desingularization procedures.
- Given $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, we denote by $\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}$ the (intrinsic) induced Euclidean distance in $\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})$:

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}(p, q) = \inf\{\ell(\gamma) : \gamma \subset \mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}) \\ \text{rectifiable arc connecting } p \text{ and } q\}, \quad p, q \in \mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}).$$

$\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}, (\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}), \text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}) \equiv$ **image distance**, image metric space of $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$.

Image completeness

- **Idea:** Take an already known **immersed** example and **desingularize** it (replacing every normal crossing in the curve by an embedded annulus).
Problem: Completeness is **NOT preserved** under desingularization procedures.
- Given $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, we denote by $\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}$ the (intrinsic) induced Euclidean distance in $\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})$:

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}(p, q) = \inf\{\ell(\gamma) : \gamma \subset \mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}) \\ \text{rectifiable arc connecting } p \text{ and } q\}, \quad p, q \in \mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}).$$

$\text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}, (\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}), \text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})}) \equiv$ **image distance**, image metric space of $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$.

- $\mathbf{X} \equiv$ **image complete** if $(\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R}), \text{dist}_{\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})})$ is a complete metric space \equiv if every rectifiable divergent arc in $\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{R})$ has infinite Euclidean length. Image completeness implies completeness, and both notions are equivalent on injective immersions.

Tangent nets

- \mathcal{D} bounded regular strictly convex domain in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\Delta \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ finite set,

$$\Gamma := \bigcup_{p \in \Delta} (p + T_p \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{D}.$$

$\mathcal{T} := \{q \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \text{dist}(q, \Gamma) < \epsilon\} \equiv$ **tangent net** of radius $\epsilon > 0$ for \mathcal{D} .

$\mathcal{T}^0 := \Delta \equiv$ **0-skeleton**, $\mathcal{T}^1 := \Gamma \equiv$ **1-skeleton**.

Given $p \in \mathcal{T}^0$, $\mathcal{T}(p) := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(q, p + T_p \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}) < \epsilon\} \equiv$ **slab** of \mathcal{T} based at p .

Tangent nets

- \mathcal{D} bounded regular strictly convex domain in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\Delta \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ finite set,

$$\Gamma := \bigcup_{p \in \Delta} (p + T_p \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{D}.$$

$\mathcal{T} := \{q \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \text{dist}(q, \Gamma) < \epsilon\} \equiv$ **tangent net** of radius $\epsilon > 0$ for \mathcal{D} .

$\mathcal{T}^0 := \Delta \equiv$ **0-skeleton**, $\mathcal{T}^1 := \Gamma \equiv$ **1-skeleton**.

Given $p \in \mathcal{T}^0$, $\mathcal{T}(p) := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(q, p + T_p \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}) < \epsilon\} \equiv$ **slab** of \mathcal{T} based at p .

- If $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is small, then $\ell(\gamma)$ is **large** (comparatively with $\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D})$) for any arc $\gamma \subset \mathcal{T}$ connecting $\text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ and $\text{Fr}\mathcal{D}'$.

The tangent net lemma

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') := \left(\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + \frac{1}{\kappa(\mathcal{D})} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}')}{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + 2/\kappa(\mathcal{D})}}$$

The tangent net lemma

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') := \left(\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + \frac{1}{\kappa(\mathcal{D})} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}')}{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + 2/\kappa(\mathcal{D})}}$$

- Any convex domain \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{C}^2 admits an exhaustion $\{\mathcal{D}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded regular strictly convex domains such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}^n, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}^{n+1}) = +\infty.$$

The tangent net lemma

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') := \left(\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + \frac{1}{\kappa(\mathcal{D})} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}')}{\text{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') + 2/\kappa(\mathcal{D})}}$$

- Any convex domain \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{C}^2 admits an exhaustion $\{\mathcal{D}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded regular strictly convex domains such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}^n, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}^{n+1}) = +\infty.$$

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $A \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ consisting of a finite collection of smooth closed curves.

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a tangent net \mathcal{T} of radius $< \epsilon$ for \mathcal{D} such that

- $A \subset \mathcal{T}$ and
- $\ell(\gamma) > \mathbf{d}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}') - \epsilon$ for any Jordan arc $\gamma \subset \mathcal{T}$ connecting $\text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ and $\text{Fr}\mathcal{D}'$.

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{Y}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ enjoying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact annuli.
- $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\| < \delta$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{Y}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ enjoying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact annuli.
- $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\| < \delta$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{-\varepsilon}$.

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{Y}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ enjoying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact annuli.
- $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\| < \delta$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{-\varepsilon}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(b\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}'$, hence $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'}$.

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{Y}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ enjoying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact annuli.
- $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\| < \delta$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{-\varepsilon}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(b\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}'$, hence $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{T}$.

Deforming curves along tangent nets

Lemma

Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be bounded regular strictly convex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\mathcal{D} \Subset \mathcal{D}'$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{T} be a tangent net of radius ε for \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{N} be an open connected Riemann surface, let $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ be a bordered domain, and let $\mathbf{X}: \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion such that

$$\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \quad (\text{hence } \mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}).$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{N}$ and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{Y}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ enjoying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint compact annuli.
- $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\| < \delta$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{-\varepsilon}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(b\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}'$, hence $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}'}$.
- $\mathbf{Y}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{T}$.

- $T_p \text{Fr}\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{R} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(u_p) \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{J}(v_{\mathcal{D}}(p)))$ has **real dimension 3**, for all $p \in \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$.

The desingularization lemma

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a strictly convex bounded regular domain. Let \mathcal{N} be an open Riemann surface and let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{M} be bordered domains in \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion satisfying that

- $\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ (hence $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}$), and
- $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}})$ contains no double point of $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$; in particular, $\mathbf{X}|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ is an embedding.

The desingularization lemma

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a strictly convex bounded regular domain. Let \mathcal{N} be an open Riemann surface and let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{M} be bordered domains in \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{R} \Subset \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathbf{X}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic immersion satisfying that

- $\mathbf{X}(b\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$ (hence $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \mathcal{D}$), and
- $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{R}})$ contains no double point of $\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$; in particular, $\mathbf{X}|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ is an embedding.

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist an open Riemann surface \mathcal{W} , a bordered domain $\mathcal{S} \Subset \mathcal{W}$, and a holomorphic immersion $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that:

- $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ (in particular, the closures of \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{W} agree).
- $\|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{X}\| < \epsilon$ on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and the Hausdorff distance

$$\partial^H(\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{R}), \mathbf{F}(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \mathcal{R})) < \epsilon.$$

In particular, $\partial^H(\mathbf{X}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}), \mathbf{F}(\overline{\mathcal{S}})) < \epsilon$.

- $\mathbf{F}(b\overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset \text{Fr}\mathcal{D}$.
- $\mathbf{F}|_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}$ is an embedding.

Theorem

Let S be an open orientable smooth surface and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a convex domain.

Then there exist a complex structure \mathcal{J} on S and an *image complete proper* holomorphic immersion $(S, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

- The proof follows from the same argument but without desingularizing at each step.

A complete bounded complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^2

Antonio Alarcón

Universidad de Granada

Joint work with Francisco J. López

Variational problems and Geometric PDE's

Granada, June 21st 2013