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## Abstract

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$. We introduce an intrinsic Kobayashi-type (Finsler) minimal pseudometric $g_{\Omega}: T \Omega=\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$defined in terms of conformal harmonic discs. Such discs parameterize minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Its integrated form is the minimal pseudodistance $\rho_{\Omega}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, also defined by chains of conformal harmonic discs.

On the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}, g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$ coincides with the Cayley-Klein metric, one of the classical models of hyperbolic geometry.

I shall present several sufficient conditions for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ to be (complete) hyperbolic, meaning that $g_{\Omega}$ is a (complete) metric; equivalently, $\rho_{\Omega}$ is a (complete) distance function.
F. F. \& David Kalaj, Hyperbolicity theory for conformal minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12403, February 2021
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## The minimal pseudodistance

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ denote the unit disc, and let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ denote the space of conformal harmonic discs $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ :

$$
f_{x} \cdot f_{y}=0, \quad\left|f_{x}\right|=\left|f_{y}\right| ; \quad z=x+i y \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Fix a pair of points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$ and consider finite chains of discs $f_{i} \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ and points $a_{i} \in \mathbb{D}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ such that

$$
f_{1}(0)=\mathbf{x}, \quad f_{i+1}(0)=f_{i}\left(a_{i}\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k-1, \quad f_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)=\mathbf{y}
$$

To any such chain we associate the number

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\left|a_{i}\right|}{1-\left|a_{i}\right|} \geq 0
$$

The pseudodistance $\rho_{\Omega}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is the infimum of the numbers obtained in this way. Clearly it satisfies the triangle inequality.

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and we use holomorphic discs, we get the Kobayashi pseudodistance $\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{S}\right.$. Kobayashi, 1967). Hence, $\rho_{\Omega} \leq \mathcal{K}_{\Omega}$. These pseudodistances agree on domains in $\mathbb{C}$, but strict inequality holds if $n>1$.

## The minimal pseudometric

Define a Finsler pseudometric $g_{\Omega}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$on $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})=\inf \left\{1 / r>0: \exists f \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega), f(0)=\mathbf{x}, f_{x}(0)=r \mathbf{v}\right\}
$$

Clearly, $g_{\Omega}$ is upper-semicontinuous and absolutely homogeneous:

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, t \mathbf{v})=|t| g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and using only holomorphic disc gives the Kobayashi pseudometric.

## Theorem

The minimal pseudodistance $\rho_{\Omega}$ is obtained by integrating $g_{\Omega}$ :

$$
\rho_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\inf _{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} g_{\Omega}(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega
$$

The infimum is over piecewise smooth paths $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\gamma(0)=\mathbf{x}$ and $\gamma(1)=\mathbf{y}$.

The elementary proof is similar to the one for the Kobayashi pseudometric.

## Metric decreasing properties

A conformal surface $M$ is hyperbolic if its universal covering space is the disc $\mathbb{D}$. Such a surface carries the Poincaré metric, $\mathcal{P}_{M}$, the unique Riemannian metric such that any conformal covering map $h: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ is an isometry from $\left(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}\right)$ onto $\left(M, \mathcal{P}_{M}\right)$. The Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{D}$ is

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}(z, \xi)=\frac{|\xi|}{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \xi \in \mathbb{C}
$$

For every conformal harmonic map $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ we have that

$$
g_{\Omega}\left(f(z), d f_{z}(\xi)\right) \leq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}(z, \xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}
$$

and $g_{\Omega}$ is the largest pseudometric on $\Omega$ with this property.
For $z=0$ this is immediate from the definition of $g_{\Omega}$. For other points, we precompose $f$ by $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ interchanging $z$ and 0 .
The same holds for conformal harmonic maps $\left(M, \mathcal{P}_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, g_{\Omega}\right)$.
Any rigid map $R: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}(n \leq m)$ with $R(\Omega) \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ is metric-decreasing:

$$
g_{\Omega^{\prime}}(R(\mathbf{x}), R(\mathbf{v})) \leq g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

## A Finsler pseudometric on the Grassmanian of 2-planes

In particular,

$$
\Omega \subset \Omega^{\prime} \Longrightarrow g_{\Omega} \geq g_{\Omega^{\prime}}
$$

We also introduce a Finsler pseudometric on $\Omega \times G_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the Grassmann manifold of 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda)=\inf \left\{1 /\left\|d f_{0}\right\|: f \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega), f(0)=\mathbf{x}, d f_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda\right\}
$$

Here, $\left\|d f_{0}\right\|$ denotes the operator norm of the differential $d f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
It clearly follows that for any vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we have

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})=|\mathbf{v}| \cdot \inf \left\{\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda): \Lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda\right\} .
$$

Note that the 2-planes $\Lambda$ containing a given vector $v \neq 0$ form an ( $n-2$ )-sphere. This is an important difference with respect to the Kobayashi metric - a vector $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ determines a unique complex line $\Lambda$.

## The Cayley-Klein metric on the ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$

## Theorem (F.-Kalaj 2021)

The minimal metric $g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$ on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ equals the Cayley-Klein metric:

$$
g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})^{2}=\frac{\left(1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}\right)|\mathbf{v}|^{2}+|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}|^{2}}{\left(1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^{2}}{1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}+\frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}|^{2}}{\left(1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

We also have that

$$
g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})=\frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi}}{1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}|\mathbf{v}|, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\phi \in[0, \pi / 2]$ is the angle between the vector $\mathbf{v}$ and the line $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{x} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda)=\frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}{1-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}|\mathbf{v}|, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^{n}, \Lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where $\theta \in[0, \pi / 2]$ is the angle between the plane $\Lambda$ and the line $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## Historical remarks

The Beltrami-Cayley-Klein model of hyperbolic geometry was introduced and studied by Arthur Cayley (1859), Eugenio Beltrami (1868), and Felix Klein (1871-73).

The underlying space is the unit ball, geodesics are straight line segments with endpoints on the boundary sphere, and the distance between points on a geodesic is given by the cross ratio.

This metric is the restriction of the Kobayashi metric (or, up to a scalar multiple, of the Bergman metric) on the complex ball $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{C}}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to points in $\mathbb{B}^{n}=\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{C}}^{n} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

It is a special case of the metric on convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which was introduced and studied by David Hilbert in 1885.

## Definition

A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ is hyperbolic if $\rho_{\Omega}$ is a distance function, and is complete hyperbolic if $\left(\Omega, \rho_{\Omega}\right)$ is a complete metric space.

## Example

(A) The ball $\mathbb{B}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, is complete hyperbolic.
(B) Every bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is hyperbolic since it is contained in a ball. However, it need not be complete hyperbolic. For example, if $b \Omega$ is smooth and contains a strongly concave boundary point $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$, there is a conformal linear disc $\Sigma \subset \Omega \cup\{\mathbf{p}\}$ containing $\mathbf{p}$. Then, $\mathbf{p}$ is at finite $\rho_{\Omega}$-distance from $\Omega$.
(C) The half-space $\mathbb{H}^{n}=\left\{\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{1}>0\right\}$ is not hyperbolic since the pseudodistance $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^{n}}$ vanishes on planes $x_{1}=$ const. However, we will show that the minimal distance to the hyperplane $b \mathbb{H}=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ is infinite.

## Basic properties of hyperbolic domains

## Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$.
(1) The family $\mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ of conformal harmonic discs $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is pointwise equicontinuous for some metric $\rho$ on $\Omega$ inducing its natural topology.
(1) Every point $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$ has a neighbourhood $U \subset \Omega$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \geq c|\mathbf{u}|, \quad \mathbf{x} \in U, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(9) $\Omega$ is hyperbolic.
(0) The minimal distance $\rho_{\Omega}$ induces the standard topology of $\Omega$.

$$
\text { A domain } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { is called taut if } \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega) \text { is a normal family. }
$$

## Theorem

The following hold for any domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$ :

$$
\text { complete hyperbolic } \Longrightarrow \text { taut } \Longrightarrow \text { hyperbolic }
$$

## Hyperbolicity of convex domains

## Theorem

The following are equivalent for a convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$.
(1) $\Omega$ is complete hyperbolic.
(1) $\Omega$ is hyperbolic.
(1. $\Omega$ does not contain any 2-dimensional affine subspaces.
(0. $\Omega$ is contained in the intersection of $n-1$ halfspaces determined by linearly independent linear functionals.

For comparison: A convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain any affine complex line (Barth (1980), Harris (1979)).
The main implication is $(i v) \Rightarrow(i)$. We first show that the minimal distance to an affine hyperplane is infinite. This follows from the Schwarz lemma for positive harmonic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow(0,+\infty):|\nabla f(0)| \leq 2 f(0)$. For $\mathbb{H}^{n}=\left\{x_{1}>0\right\}$ this gives

$$
g_{\mathbb{H}^{n}}\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right) \geq \frac{\left|v_{1}\right|}{2 x_{1}} .
$$

For any path $\gamma(t)=\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{n}, t \in[0,1)$, it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} g_{\mathbb{H}^{n}}(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t \geq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|\dot{\gamma}_{1}(t)\right|}{2 \gamma_{1}(t)} d t
$$

If $\gamma(t) \rightarrow 0$ or $\gamma(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ then the integral is $+\infty$,

## Hyperbolicity of convex domains, 2

Hence, a convex domain is locally complete hyperbolic at every boundary point.
Assume that $\Omega$ satisfies condition (iv). Up to a translation and rotation, there are linearly independent unit vectors $\mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\{0\}$ such that

$$
\Omega \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{H}_{i} \quad \text { where } \mathbb{H}_{i}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}>0\right\} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Let $\mathbf{x}(t)=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}(t), x_{n}(t)\right) \in \Omega(t \in[0,1))$ be a divergent path. Set

$$
x_{i}(t):=\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime}(t) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}>0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1, t \in[0,1)
$$

If $\mathbf{x}(t)$ clusters at some point $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$ as $t \rightarrow 1$, then $\mathbf{x}(t)$ has infinite $g_{\Omega}$-length. Likewise, if one of the functions $x_{i}(t)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ clusters at $+\infty$, then the path $\mathbf{x}(t)$ has infinite minimal length in $\mathbb{H}_{i}$, and hence also in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{i}$.

It remains to consider the case when the functions $x_{i}(t)$ are bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i} \leq c_{1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1, t \in[0,1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the path $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \Omega$ does not cluster anywhere on $b \Omega$. In this case, the last component $x_{n}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ clusters at $\pm \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1$, and hence $\int_{0}^{1}\left|\dot{x}_{n}(t)\right| d t=+\infty$. To see that the path $\mathbf{x}(t)$ has infinite $g_{\Omega}$-length, it suffices to show that

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}(t), \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \geq c_{2}\left|\dot{x}_{n}(t)\right|,
$$

where $c_{2}>0$ only depends on $c_{1}>0$ and the vectors $\mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}$.

## Hyperbolicity of convex domains, 3

Fix a point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ satisfying (1) and a unit vector $\mathbf{v}=\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and consider a conformal harmonic map $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $f(0)=\mathbf{x}$ and $f_{x}(0)=r \mathbf{v}$ for some $r>0$. Then, $f_{y}(0)=r \mathbf{w}=r\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, w_{n}\right)$ where $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is an orthonormal frame:

$$
0=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{w}^{\prime}+v_{n} w_{n}, \quad|\mathbf{v}|=|\mathbf{w}|=1 .
$$

From this and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$
v_{n}^{2}\left(1-\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)=v_{n}^{2} w_{n}^{2}=\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\left(1-v_{n}^{2}\right)\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\left|v_{n}\right| \leq\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right| \leq c_{3} \max _{i=1, \ldots, n-1}\left|\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}\right|
$$

where $c_{3}>0$ depends on the vectors $\mathbf{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\{0\}$. Therefore,

$$
r\left|v_{n}\right| \leq c_{3} \max _{i=1, \ldots, n-1} r\left|\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}\right| \leq 2 c_{3} \max _{i=1, \ldots, n-1} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i},
$$

where the second estimate follows from the Schwarz lemma applied to the conformal harmonic disc $z \mapsto \tilde{f}(z)=f(\mathrm{iz})$ in each of the half-spaces $\mathbb{H}_{i}$. (Note that $\tilde{f}(0)=\mathrm{x}$ and $\tilde{f}_{x}(0)=f_{y}(0)=r \mathbf{w}$.) Together with the assumption (1) this gives

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \geq \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|}{2 c_{3} \max _{i=1, \ldots, n-1} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i}} \geq \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|}{2 c_{1} c_{3}}=c_{2}\left|v_{n}\right|,
$$

Applying this with $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v}=\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ yields $g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}(t), \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \geq c_{2}\left|\dot{x}_{n}(t)\right|$, proving that $\Omega$ is complete hyperbolic.

## Minimal plurisubharmonic functions

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. An upper-semicontinuous function $u: \Omega \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ is said to be minimal plurisubharmonic, MPSH, if for every affine 2-plane $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the restriction $u: L \cap \Omega \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ is subharmonic (in conformal affine coordinates on $L$ ).

A function $u \in \mathscr{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ is MPSH if and only if

$$
\Delta\left(\left.u\right|_{\mathbf{x}+\Lambda}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{tr}_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text { for every }(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

and this holds if and only if

$$
(*) \quad \lambda_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\lambda_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega
$$

where $\lambda_{1}(\mathbf{x}), \lambda_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the smallest eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Hess}_{u}(\mathbf{x})$.
We say that $u \in \mathscr{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ is strongly minimal plurisubharmonic if strong inequality holds in $\left(^{*}\right.$ ).

This class of functions was studied by Harvey and Lawson in a series of papers. Their use in the theory of minimal surfaces is summarized in my monograph with Alarcón and López (Minimal surfaces from a complex analytic viewpoint, Springer, 2021).

## ... and their relevance to minimal surfaces

## Proposition

An upper-semicontinuous function $u: \Omega \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ is MPSH if and only if for each conformal harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow \Omega$ from a conformal surface the function $u \circ f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is subharmonic. If $u \in \mathscr{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ is strongly MPSH and $f$ is an immersion, then $u \circ f$ is strongly subharmonic on $M$.

For functions $u \in \mathscr{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ this follows from the following formula, which holds for every conformal harmonic map $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ :

$$
\Delta(u \circ f)(z)=\operatorname{tr}_{d f_{z}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(f(z)) \cdot\left\|d f_{z}\right\|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

## Lemma

Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the Euclidean coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$.
(a) The function $\log |\mathbf{x}|$ is MPSH on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(D) If $u$ is MPSH on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ then for any $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$ the function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{u(\mathbf{x})}$ and its logarithm are MPSH on $\Omega$.

## Minimally convex domains

A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, with smooth boundary is minimally convex if admits a defining function $\rho$ such that

$$
\text { (*) } \operatorname{tr}_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Hess}_{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \geq 0 \text { for every } \mathbf{p} \in b \Omega \text { and 2-plane } \Lambda \subset T_{\mathbf{p}} b \Omega \text {. }
$$

The domain $\Omega$ is strongly minimally convex if strict inequality holds.
Condition (*) says that $b \Omega$ has nonnegative (resp. positive) mean sectional curvature on every tangent 2-plane. This holds if and only if the principal normal curvatures $v_{1} \leq v_{2} \leq \cdots \leq v_{n-1}$ of $b \Omega$ at $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$ satisfy

$$
v_{1}+v_{2} \geq 0 \quad\left(\text { resp. } v_{1}+v_{2}>0\right) .
$$

A domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ bounded by a minimal surface is minimally convex.
Alarcón, Drinovec Drnovšek, F., López 2019: Every bordered Riemann surface admits many proper conformal harmonic immersions into an arbitrary minimally convex domain.
F. 2022: A bounded (strongly) minimally convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ admits a defining function $u$ which is (strongly) MPSH on $\bar{\Omega}=\{u \leq 0\}$.

## Strongly minimally convex domains are complete hyperbolic

## Theorem

Every bounded strongly minimally convex domain is complete hyperbolic.

This is an analogue of Graham's theorem (1975) that bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ are complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Conversely: if $v_{1}+v_{2}<0$ at some point $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$ then $\mathbf{p}$ is at finite minimal distance from the interior. In this case there exists an embedded conformal harmonic disc $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega \cup\{\mathbf{p}\}$ with $f(0)=\mathbf{p}$ and $f\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right) \subset \Omega$.

## Corollary

If $M$ is an embedded surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that the minimal distance to any point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ is infinite, then $M$ is a minimal surface.

## Problem

Is the minimal distance to an embedded minimal surface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ infinite?

## A pseudometric defined by MPSH functions

Our proof uses the existence of a strongly minimally plurisubharmonic defining function and an analogue of the Sibony metric in this category.

We define the pseudometric $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}: \Omega \times \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda)=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{u} \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(\mathbf{x})}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \Lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where the supremum is over all MPSH functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $u$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^{2}$ near $\mathbf{x}, u(\mathbf{x})=0$, and $\log u$ is MPSH on $\Omega$.

The Sibony metric is defined in the same way, using log-plurisubharmonic functions on domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and complex lines $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

The main point is that $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}$ gives a lower bound for the minimal pseudometric:

## Proposition

For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}$.

## Proof of the proposition

Fix $(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $f \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ be such that $f(0)=\mathbf{x}$ and $d f_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda$. Let $u: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ be as in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}$.
The function $v:=u \circ f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is then subharmonic, of class $\mathscr{C}^{2}$ near the origin, $v(0)=0$, and $\log v=\log u \circ f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow[-\infty, 0)$ is also subharmonic.
By Sibony (1981) we have that

$$
\Delta v(0) \leq 4 .
$$

(The unique extremal function with $\Delta v(0)=4$ is $v(x+i y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$.) Hence,

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot\left\|d f_{0}\right\|^{2}=\Delta v(0) \leq 4
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(\mathbf{x})} \leq \frac{1}{\left\|d f_{0}\right\|}
$$

The supremum of the left hand side over all admissible functions $u$ equals $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda)$, while the infimum of the right hand side over all conformal harmonic discs $f$ as above equals $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \Lambda)$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}$.

## Sketch of proof of the theorem on complete hyperbolicity

We use the above proposition with MPSH function of the form

$$
\Psi(\mathbf{y})=\theta\left(r^{-2}|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda u(\mathbf{y})}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Omega
$$

where $\theta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a smooth increasing function such that

$$
\theta(t)=t \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta(t)=1 \text { for } t \geq 1
$$

$u$ is a strongly MPSH defining functions for $\Omega, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, and $r>0$ and $\lambda>0$ are suitably chosen constants. In this way, we show that

$$
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \geq C \frac{|\mathbf{v}|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, b \Omega)}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

To show completeness of $g_{\Omega}$ we need a stronger estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \geq C \frac{|\mathbf{v}|}{\operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, b \Omega)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for vectors $\mathbf{v}$ which are normal to $b \Omega$ at the closest point $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$ to $\mathbf{x}$.

## Sketch of proof, 2

We follow Ivashkovich and Rosay (2004). The existence of a local negative strongly MPSH peak function, and also of the MPSH anti-peak functions $\mathbf{z} \mapsto \log |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}|$ at points $\mathbf{p} \in b \Omega$, implies that for some $c>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla f(z)| \leq c \sqrt{|u(f(0))|} \approx \sqrt{\operatorname{dist}(f(0), b \Omega)}, \quad|z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ whose centre $f(0)$ is close enough to $b \Omega$. (This amounts to a localization argument, showing that most of the disc is mapped by $f$ close to $f(0)$, and then applying the Schwarz lemma for bounded harmonic functions.) This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta(u \circ f)(z)| & =\left|\operatorname{tr}_{d f_{z}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \operatorname{Hess}_{u}(f(z))\right| \cdot\left\|d f_{z}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq c_{1}|\nabla f(z)|^{2} \leq C_{1}|u(f(0))|, \quad|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c_{1}>0$ and $C_{1}=c^{2} c_{1}>0$. We claim that this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla(u \circ f)(0)| \leq C_{2}|u(f(0))|, \quad f \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (2) and hence establishes complete hyperbolicity of $\Omega$. (Note that $u \circ f$ is essentially the normal component of $f$.)

## Proof of (4)

By rescaling we may assume that (3) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
Set $v=u \circ f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow(-\infty, 0)$, so we have that

$$
|\Delta v(z)| \leq C_{1}|v(0)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We extend $\Delta v$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by setting it equal to 0 on $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The function

$$
g(z)=v(z)-\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |\cdot| * \Delta v\right)(z)-C_{1}|v(0)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

is then harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$. Note that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \right| \cdot|* \Delta v| \leq C_{1}|v(0)|
$$

Hence, $g \leq v<0$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and $|g(0)|<\left(2 C_{1}+1\right)|v(0)|$. Schwarz lemma for negative harmonic functions gives $|\nabla g(0)| \leq 2|g(0)|$, and hence

$$
|\nabla v(0)| \leq|\nabla g(0)|+\sup _{\mathbb{D}}|\Delta v| \leq 2|g(0)|+C_{1}|v(0)| \leq\left(5 C_{1}+2\right)|v(0)|
$$

This is the estimate (3) with $C=5 C_{1}+2$.
$\sim$ Thank you for your attention $\sim$
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