

# Minimal Lagrangian Pseudo-Riemannian Isotropic Submanifolds

M.Moruz- joint work with Luc Vrancken  
Université de Valenciennes et de Hainaut Cambrésis,  
Valenciennes, France

November 20th, 2015  
University of Granada, Spain  
Department of Geometry and Topology

# Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Context
  - Preliminaries
- 2 Possible dimensions
- 3 Case 1:  $\dim U=4$ 
  - Determining  $L$
- 4 Case 2:  $\dim U=8$ 
  - Determining  $L$

- The problem treated in the present work has its beginning in the paper of Franki Dillen and Luc Vrancken- *Lorentzian Isotropic Lagrangian immersions*, where they study Lagrangian isotropic immersions of a **Lorentzian** manifold into a complex Lorentzian space form. They show that such submanifolds are always  $H$ -umbilical warped product immersions.

- The problem treated in the present work has its beginning in the paper of Franki Dillen and Luc Vrancken- *Lorentzian Isotropic Lagrangian immersions*, where they study Lagrangian isotropic immersions of a **Lorentzian** manifold into a complex Lorentzian space form. They show that such submanifolds are always  $H$ -umbilical warped product immersions.
- The similar problem is solved in the **Riemannian case**, where the starting point in the proof is the fact that the unit sphere in the tangent space at a point is compact.

- The problem treated in the present work has its beginning in the paper of Franki Dillen and Luc Vrancken- *Lorentzian Isotropic Lagrangian immersions*, where they study Lagrangian isotropic immersions of a **Lorentzian** manifold into a complex Lorentzian space form. They show that such submanifolds are always *H*-umbilical warped product immersions.
- The similar problem is solved in the **Riemannian case**, where the starting point in the proof is the fact that the unit sphere in the tangent space at a point is compact.
- But this does not hold for **the indefinite case**, so the authors find another approach, using lightlike vectors.

- The notion of a submanifold with isotropic second fundamental form was first introduced by O'Neill. Namely, if

- The notion of a submanifold with isotropic second fundamental form was first introduced by O'Neill. Namely, if

$$\langle h(X(p), X(p)), h(X(p), X(p)) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle X(p), X(p) \rangle^2,$$

for any  $X(p) \in T_p M$ , we say that  $M$  has isotropic second fundamental form.

- The notion of a submanifold with isotropic second fundamental form was first introduced by O'Neill. Namely, if

$$\langle h(X(p), X(p)), h(X(p), X(p)) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle X(p), X(p) \rangle^2,$$

for any  $X(p) \in T_p M$ , we say that  $M$  has isotropic second fundamental form.

If  $\lambda$  is independent of the point  $p$ , the submanifold is called constant isotropic.



- In the indefinite case, the above notion was introduced in a systematic way in 2009 (J. Geom. Phys.) by J.L. Cabrerizo, M. Fernandez, J.S. Gomez (Universidad de Sevilla)

- In the indefinite case, the above notion was introduced in a systematic way in 2009 (J. Geom. Phys.) by J.L. Cabrerizo, M. Fernandez, J.S. Gomez (Universidad de Sevilla)

Amongst others they showed that the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any tangent vector,
- 2  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any spacelike vector,
- 3  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any timelike vector.

- In the indefinite case, the above notion was introduced in a systematic way in 2009 (J. Geom. Phys.) by J.L. Cabrerizo, M. Fernandez, J.S. Gomez (Universidad de Sevilla)  
Amongst others they showed that the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any tangent vector,
- 2  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any spacelike vector,
- 3  $\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = \lambda(p) \langle x, x \rangle^2$ , for any timelike vector.

Note however that they also showed that the same characterisation is not true for lightlike vectors. The fact that

$$\langle h(x, x), h(x, x) \rangle = 0$$

for any lightlike vector does not imply the immersion to be isotropic.

- The present work started from the study of the paper '*Minimal Lagrangian isotropic immersions in indefinite complex space forms*' by Xianfeng Wang, Haizhong Li, Luc Vrancken, based on the previously mentioned one.

- The present work started from the study of the paper '*Minimal Lagrangian isotropic immersions in indefinite complex space forms*' by Xianfeng Wang, Haizhong Li, Luc Vrancken, based on the previously mentioned one.
- Here, the authors study the  $n$ -dimensional minimal Lagrangian isotropic submanifolds  $M^n$  in an indefinite complex space form and they prove that the dimension of  $M^n$  satisfies  $n = 3r + 2$ , for some positive integer  $r$ .

- The present work started from the study of the paper '*Minimal Lagrangian isotropic immersions in indefinite complex space forms*' by Xianfeng Wang, Haizhong Li, Luc Vrancken, based on the previously mentioned one.
- Here, the authors study the  $n$ -dimensional minimal Lagrangian isotropic submanifolds  $M^n$  in an indefinite complex space form and they prove that the dimension of  $M^n$  satisfies  $n = 3r + 2$ , for some positive integer  $r$ .
- When  $n < 14$ , they give a classification of such submanifolds .

- The present work started from the study of the paper '*Minimal Lagrangian isotropic immersions in indefinite complex space forms*' by Xianfeng Wang, Haizhong Li, Luc Vrancken, based on the previously mentioned one.
- Here, the authors study the  $n$ -dimensional minimal Lagrangian isotropic submanifolds  $M^n$  in an indefinite complex space form and they prove that the dimension of  $M^n$  satisfies  $n = 3r + 2$ , for some positive integer  $r$ .
- When  $n < 14$ , they give a classification of such submanifolds .
- In the present work, we show that the dimension of  $M^n$ , can only be 5, 8, 14 or 26 and we determine all the components of the second fundamental form.

- Results

### Proposition

*Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 3$ ) minimal Lagrangian isotropic submanifold in an indefinite complex space form  $\tilde{M}_s^n(4\tilde{c})$  and suppose  $M^n$  is not totally geodesic. Then, the dimension of  $M^n$  satisfies  $n = 3r + 2$ , for  $r > 0$ .*

### Proposition

*Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional minimal Lagrangian  $\lambda$ -isotropic submanifold in an indefinite complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4\tilde{c})$ . Then  $M^n$  is constant isotropic.*



- Results

### Proposition

*Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Lagrangian submanifold in an indefinite complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4\tilde{c})$ . If  $M^n$  is constant isotropic, then  $M^n$  has parallel second fundamental form ( $\nabla h = 0$ ).*

### Proposition

*Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional minimal Lagrangian  $\lambda$ -isotropic submanifold in an indefinite complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4\tilde{c})$ , ( $\tilde{c} = 0, \pm 1$ ),  $M^n$  not totally geodesic. Then  $M^n$  is a locally symmetric space and  $\tilde{c} = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\tilde{c} = -1, \lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

- There are three cases that arise:
  - ④ For any null vector  $v$ ,  $Jv$  and  $h(v, v)$  are linearly dependent

- There are three cases that arise:
  - ① For any null vector  $v$ ,  $Jv$  and  $h(v, v)$  are linearly dependent
  - ② For any null vector  $v$ ,  $h(v, v)$  is orthogonal to  $Jv$ . However there exists a null vector  $u$  such that  $h(u, u)$  and  $Ju$  are linearly independent

- There are three cases that arise:
  - ① For any null vector  $v$ ,  $Jv$  and  $h(v, v)$  are linearly dependent
  - ② For any null vector  $v$ ,  $h(v, v)$  is orthogonal to  $Jv$ . However there exists a null vector  $u$  such that  $h(u, u)$  and  $Ju$  are linearly independent
  - ③ There exists a null vector  $v$  such that  $\langle h(v, v), Jv \rangle \neq 0$ .
- In the first case, as it is valid for any null vector, it is possible to deduce that the submanifold is totally geodesic.
- The second case leads to a contradiction.
- The present work is dealing with the third case.

- work in a neighbourhood of a point  $p \in M$ ; choose a local frame in  $T_p M$ ,  $\{f_1, f_2, u_1, \dots, u_r, w_1^1, w_2^1, \dots, w_1^r, w_2^r\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle &= -\langle f_2, f_2 \rangle = 1, \\ \langle u_k, u_k \rangle &= \langle w_1^k, w_1^k \rangle = -\langle w_2^k, w_2^k \rangle = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- Define  $U, W$

Start with  $e_1 = v_0, e_2 = A_{Jv_0} v_0 = -Jh(v_0, v_0)$ , where  $v_0$  is a null vector such that  $v_0$  and  $A_{Jv_0} v_0$  are linearly independent, but not orthogonal. We can show that  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is invariant under  $A_{Je_1}$ .

Let  $U$  be the eigenspace of the operator  $A_{Je_1}$  on the space  $\{e_1, e_2\}^\perp$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ;

Let  $W$  be the eigenspace of the operator  $A_{Je_1}$  on the space  $\{e_1, e_2\}^\perp$  with the eigenvalues  $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\lambda i$ :

$$U = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$$

$$W = \text{span}\{w_1^1, w_2^1, \dots, w_1^r, w_2^r\}$$

Finally, let  $W := \text{span}\{\omega_1^1, \omega_2^1, \dots, \omega_1^r, \omega_2^r\}$ , for  $\omega_1^k = \text{Re}(w_1^k)$  and  $\omega_2^k = \text{Im}(w_1^k)$ .

- Define  $L : W \times W \rightarrow U$

$$L(w, \tilde{w}) := A_{Jw} \tilde{w} + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \langle A_{Jw} \tilde{w}, e_2 \rangle e_1 + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \langle A_{Jw} \tilde{w}, e_1 \rangle e_2,$$

- Define  $T : W \rightarrow W$

$$Tw := \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\lambda} \left( A_{Je_1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda I \right) w, \forall w \in W$$

- Properties

$$\begin{aligned} T\omega_1^\alpha &= \omega_2^\alpha & \langle Tw, Tv \rangle &= -\langle w, v \rangle \\ T\omega_2^\alpha &= -\omega_1^\alpha & \langle Tv, w \rangle &= \langle v, Tw \rangle \\ T^2 w &= -w & L(w, Tv) &= -L(v, Tw) \\ & & L(Tw, Tv) &= L(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

- Look at the theory of composition of quadratic forms over fields- it has started in the 19th century with the search of the n-square identities of the type

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_n^2,$$

where  $X = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, \cdots, y_n)$  are systems of indeterminates and  $z_k = z_k(X, Y)$  is a bilinear form in  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- Look at the theory of composition of quadratic forms over fields- it has started in the 19th century with the search of the  $n$ -square identities of the type

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_n^2,$$

where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are systems of indeterminates and  $z_k = z_k(X, Y)$  is a bilinear form in  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- An easy example: for  $n = 2$  we find the 'law of moduli' for complex numbers:

$$|x|^2|y|^2 = |z|^2 \Leftrightarrow (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)^2 + (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)^2.$$



- Look at the theory of composition of quadratic forms over fields- it has started in the 19th century with the search of the n-square identities of the type

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_n^2,$$

where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are systems of indeterminates and  $z_k = z_k(X, Y)$  is a bilinear form in  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- An easy example: for  $n = 2$  we find the 'law of moduli' for complex numbers:

$$|x|^2|y|^2 = |z|^2 \Leftrightarrow (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)^2 + (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)^2.$$

- In 1898, Hurwitz proved that there exists an n-square identity with complex coefficients if and only if  $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$ .

In order to apply the previous result, find a conveniently defined operator which preserves lengths.

Look at the eigenvalues of  $T$  :  $-i, i$  with the corresponding eigenspaces

$$W_1 := \text{span}\{\omega_1^\alpha + i\omega_2^\alpha\} = \text{span}\{v + iTv \mid v \in W, v \text{ real vector}\},$$

$$W_2 := \text{span}\{\omega_1^\beta - i\omega_2^\beta\} = \text{span}\{w - iTw \mid w \in W, w \text{ real vector}\},$$

respectively, where  $W = \text{span}\{\omega_1^\alpha, \omega_2^\alpha\}$  as initially chosen.

Define

$$L : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow U^{\mathbb{C}},$$

with  $U^{\mathbb{C}} = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define

$$L : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow U^{\mathbb{C}},$$

with  $U^{\mathbb{C}} = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- $L(x, -)$  is bijective, for some non-null vector  $x$ .
- $L$  satisfies

$$\langle L(x, y), L(x, y) \rangle = \frac{3\mu^2}{4} \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle,$$

which is an  $r$ -square quadratic equation. This gives that  $r = 1, 2, 4, 8$ , which implies that  $n = 5, 8, 14, 26$ .

- ( ?How to) Construct orthonormal bases for  $W$  and  $U$ , respectively:

$$W = \text{span}\{\omega_1^1, \omega_2^1, \omega_1^2, \omega_2^2, \omega_1^3, \omega_2^3, \omega_1^4, \omega_2^4\}$$

$$U = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\},$$

with  $\omega_1^\alpha, \omega_2^\alpha$  real,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Depending on whether the vectors in the basis of  $U$  have length 1 or  $\sqrt{3}$ , we have the following cases:

	I	II	III	IV
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_2$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$

	I.i	I.ii	II.i	II.ii
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\beta u_3$	$\alpha u_1$	$\beta u_3$	$\alpha u_1$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$c u_1$	$d u_3$	$c u_1$	$d u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\bar{b} u_4$	$\bar{b} u_4$	$\bar{b} u_4$	$\bar{b} u_4$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\bar{c} u_1$	$d u_3$	$\bar{c} u_1$	$d u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$g u_2$	$g u_1$	$g u_3$	$g u_1$

	III.i	III.ii	IV.i	IV.ii
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_2$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$
$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$-\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\beta u_3$	$\alpha u_1$	$\beta u_3$	$\alpha u_1$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$
$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$c u_1$	$d u_3$	$c u_1$	$d u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$	$b u_4$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$	$f u_1$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$	$e u_2$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1)$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$	$\sqrt{3}\mu u_4$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2)$	$\bar{c} u_1$	$\bar{d} u_3$	$\bar{c} u_1$	$\bar{d} u_3$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3)$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$	$\bar{e} u_2$
$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4)$	$g u_2$	$g u_1$	$g u_3$	$g u_1$

For the case when  $\dim U = 8$ , according to the signature of the metric on  $U$ , there are the following cases:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-



For the case when  $\dim U = 8$ , according to the signature of the metric on  $U$ , there are the following cases:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-

To deal with these cases, we take  $L : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow U$ , with

$$W_1 = \text{span}\{v + iTv \mid v \in W\},$$

$$W_2 = \text{span}\{w - iTw \mid w \in W\},$$

and construct convenient bases for  $W$  and  $U$ , respectively.

Straightforward computations lead to finding that only two cases hold, namely case 1 and case 7. For each case, we could entirely determine L.

- Case 1

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^5) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

- Case 7

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^1 + i\omega_2^1, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^2 + i\omega_2^2, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^3 + i\omega_2^3, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i u_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu u_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu u_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^4 + i\omega_2^4, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i u_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^5 + i\omega_2^5, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = \sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^6 + i\omega_2^6, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = \sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_1$$

$$L(\omega_1^7 + i\omega_2^7, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^1 - i\omega_2^1) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_8$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^2 - i\omega_2^2) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_5$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^3 - i\omega_2^3) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_7$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^4 - i\omega_2^4) = -\sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_6$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^5 - i\omega_2^5) = \sqrt{3}\mu i\mu_2$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^6 - i\omega_2^6) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_4$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^7 - i\omega_2^7) = \sqrt{3}\mu\mu_3$$

$$L(\omega_1^8 + i\omega_2^8, \omega_1^8 - i\omega_2^8) = -\sqrt{3}\mu\mu_1$$

- Once we have determined  $L$ , we can determine the second fundamental form. By the Gauss equation, the theorem of Cartan is verified and therefore, any two minimal Lagrangian isotropic submanifolds of a complex space form should be isometric.
- By the existence theorem 2.4. in the studied paper, we get that the immersions are congruent.
- This implies that, in order to complete the classification theorem, it is sufficient to present an example which has the desired properties.

Thank You!