

Optimal regularity for isoperimetric sets with density

Eleonora Cinti

Università di Bologna

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY AND NON-LOCAL OPERATORS

Granada, June 26th 2023

(joint work with L. Beck and C. Seis)

The isoperimetric problem with density

Let us given two density functions $f, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$.

For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$V_f(E) := \int_E f(x) dx$$

and

$$P_h(E) := \int_{\partial^* E} h(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

We are interested in the following minimization problem:

$$\inf \{P_h(E) : E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } V_f(E) = m\}.$$

The isoperimetric problem with density

Let us given two density functions $f, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$.

For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$V_f(E) := \int_E f(x) dx$$

and

$$P_h(E) := \int_{\partial^* E} h(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

We are interested in the following minimization problem:

$$\inf \{ P_h(E) : E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } V_f(E) = m \}.$$

The isoperimetric problem with density

Let us given two density functions $f, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$.

For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$V_f(E) := \int_E f(x) dx$$

and

$$P_h(E) := \int_{\partial^* E} h(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

We are interested in the following minimization problem:

$$\inf \{P_h(E) : E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } V_f(E) = m\}.$$

The isoperimetric problem with density

Let us given two density functions $f, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$.

For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$V_f(E) := \int_E f(x) dx$$

and

$$P_h(E) := \int_{\partial^* E} h(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

We are interested in the following minimization problem:

$$\inf \{ P_h(E) : E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } V_f(E) = m \}.$$

Some References

- Existence: Morgan-Pratelli, De Philippis-Franzina-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco
- Regularity: Morgan, C.-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco, Beck-C.-Seis.

Many other contributions by: Cañete - Miranda - Vittone; Rosales - Cañete - Bayle - Morgan; Cañete - Rosales; Chambers; Cabré - Ros-Oton - Serra; Brock - Chiacchio - Mercaldo,

Some References

- Existence: Morgan-Pratelli, De Philippis-Franzina-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco
- Regularity: Morgan, C.-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco, Beck-C.-Seis.

Many other contributions by: Cañete - Miranda - Vittone; Rosales - Cañete - Bayle - Morgan; Cañete - Rosales; Chambers; Cabré - Ros-Oton - Serra; Brock - Chiacchio - Mercaldo,

Some References

- Existence: Morgan-Pratelli, De Philippis-Franzina-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco
- Regularity: Morgan, C.-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco, Beck-C.-Seis.

Many other contributions by: Cañete - Miranda - Vittone; Rosales - Cañete - Bayle - Morgan; Cañete - Rosales; Chambers; Cabré - Ros-Oton - Serra; Brock - Chiacchio - Mercaldo,

Some References

- Existence: Morgan-Pratelli, De Philippis-Franzina-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco
- Regularity: Morgan, C.-Pratelli, Pratelli-Saracco, Beck-C.-Seis.

Many other contributions by: Cañete - Miranda - Vittone; Rosales - Cañete - Bayle - Morgan; Cañete - Rosales; Chambers; Cabré - Ros-Oton - Serra; Brock - Chiacchio - Mercaldo,

Regularity results

Theorem (Morgan, Trans. AMS 2003)

Let $f = h$ be of class $C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{k+1,\alpha}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

QUESTION: What about less regular densities?

Regularity results

Theorem (Morgan, Trans. AMS 2003)

Let $f = h$ be of class $C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{k+1,\alpha}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

QUESTION: What about less regular densities?

Regularity results

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Crelle 2017)

Let $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\sigma}$, where

$$\sigma(\alpha, n) := \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha}.$$

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\sigma = \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

Regularity results

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Crelle 2017)

Let $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\sigma}$, where

$$\sigma(\alpha, n) := \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha}.$$

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\sigma = \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

Regularity results

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Crelle 2017)

Let $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\sigma}$, where

$$\sigma(\alpha, n) := \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha}.$$

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\sigma = \frac{\alpha}{2n(1-\alpha) + 2\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

Regularity result: $n = 2$

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Math. Ann. 2017)

Let $n = 2$ and $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(3-2\alpha)}$.

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} > \frac{\alpha}{4-2\alpha} =: \sigma(\alpha, 2)$$

- in particular

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1$$

Regularity result: $n = 2$

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Math. Ann. 2017)

Let $n = 2$ and $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(3-2\alpha)}$.

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} > \frac{\alpha}{4-2\alpha} =: \sigma(\alpha, 2)$$

- in particular

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1$$

Regularity result: $n = 2$

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Math. Ann. 2017)

Let $n = 2$ and $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(3-2\alpha)}$.

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} > \frac{\alpha}{4-2\alpha} =: \sigma(\alpha, 2)$$

- in particular

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1$$

Regularity result: $n = 2$

Theorem (C.-Pratelli, Math. Ann. 2017)

Let $n = 2$ and $f = h$ be of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(3-2\alpha)}$.

Remark

- We observe that the Hölder exponent

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} > \frac{\alpha}{4-2\alpha} =: \sigma(\alpha, 2)$$

- in particular

$$\frac{\alpha}{3-2\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1$$

Idea of the proof

We show that the following implication holds true:

If E is an isoperimetric set w.r.t. the density $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$

THEN

E is an ω -minimal set for the classical perimeter,

i.e. $\forall B_r$ and $\forall F$ such that $F \Delta E \subset\subset B_r$, we have

$$P(E, B_r) \leq P(F, B_r) + \omega(r) \cdot r^{n-1},$$

for some modulus of continuity ω .

Idea of the proof

We show that the following implication holds true:

If E is an isoperimetric set w.r.t. the density $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$

THEN

E is an ω -minimal set for the classical perimeter,

i.e. $\forall B_r$ and $\forall F$ such that $F \Delta E \subset\subset B_r$, we have

$$P(E, B_r) \leq P(F, B_r) + \omega(r) \cdot r^{n-1},$$

for some modulus of continuity ω .

Idea of the proof

We show that the following implication holds true:

If E is an isoperimetric set w.r.t. the density $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$

THEN

E is an ω -minimal set for the classical perimeter,

i.e. $\forall B_r$ and $\forall F$ such that $F \Delta E \subset\subset B_r$, we have

$$P(E, B_r) \leq P(F, B_r) + \omega(r) \cdot r^{n-1},$$

for some modulus of continuity ω .

Idea of the proof

Then, the regularity of E follows by standard regularity theory for ω -minimal sets:

Theorem (Tamanini, 1984)

*If E is ω -minimal with $\omega(r) = r^{2\sigma}$, then $\partial^*E \in C^{1,\sigma}$.*

Main ingredient in the proof of the previous implication: $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property.

We say that F fulfills the $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property with constant C if for any ball B such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B \cap \partial^*F) > 0$, there exists a constant $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that, for every $|\varepsilon| < \bar{\varepsilon}$, there is a set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$G \Delta F \subset\subset B, \quad V_g(G) - V_g(F) = \varepsilon, \quad P_f(G) \leq P_f(F) + C|\varepsilon|^\beta.$$

Idea of the proof

Then, the regularity of E follows by standard regularity theory for ω -minimal sets:

Theorem (Tamanini, 1984)

If E is ω -minimal with $\omega(r) = r^{2\sigma}$, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\sigma}$.

Main ingredient in the proof of the previous implication: $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property.

We say that F fulfills the $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property with constant C if for any ball B such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B \cap \partial^* F) > 0$, there exists a constant $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that, for every $|\varepsilon| < \bar{\varepsilon}$, there is a set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$G \Delta F \subset\subset B, \quad V_g(G) - V_g(F) = \varepsilon, \quad P_f(G) \leq P_f(F) + C|\varepsilon|^\beta.$$

Idea of the proof

Then, the regularity of E follows by standard regularity theory for ω -minimal sets:

Theorem (Tamanini, 1984)

If E is ω -minimal with $\omega(r) = r^{2\sigma}$, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\sigma}$.

Main ingredient in the proof of the previous implication: $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property.

We say that F fulfills the $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\beta$ property with constant C if for any ball B such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B \cap \partial^* F) > 0$, there exists a constant $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that, for every $|\varepsilon| < \bar{\varepsilon}$, there is a set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$G \Delta F \subset\subset B, \quad V_g(G) - V_g(F) = \varepsilon, \quad P_f(G) \leq P_f(F) + C|\varepsilon|^\beta.$$

Generalization to the case of two densities

Theorem (Pratelli-Saracco, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 2020)

Let h be a density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and f be a locally bounded function. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(2n(1-\alpha)+2\alpha)}$.

Remark

Such regularity does not need any regularity of f !

Generalization to the case of two densities

Theorem (Pratelli-Saracco, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 2020)

Let h be a density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and f be a locally bounded function. If E is an isoperimetric set, then $\partial^* E \in C^{1,\alpha/(2n(1-\alpha)+2\alpha)}$.

Remark

Such regularity does not need any regularity of f !

The main result

Theorem (Beck, C., Seis, 2023)

Let h be density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and f be a density of class $C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some α and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

Remark

- We achieve the Hölder exponent $\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ in any dimensions, improving all the previous results;
- observe that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

- such regularity result is optimal!

The main result

Theorem (Beck, C., Seis, 2023)

Let h be density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and f be a density of class $C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some α and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

Remark

- We achieve the Hölder exponent $\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ in any dimensions, improving all the previous results;
- observe that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

- such regularity result is optimal!

The main result

Theorem (Beck, C., Seis, 2023)

Let h be density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and f be a density of class $C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some α and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

Remark

- We achieve the Hölder exponent $\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ in any dimensions, improving all the previous results;
- observe that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

- such regularity result is optimal!

The main result

Theorem (Beck, C., Seis, 2023)

Let h be density of class $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and f be a density of class $C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some α and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then the boundary of any isoperimetric set is of class $C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, except for a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 8$.

Remark

- We achieve the Hölder exponent $\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ in any dimensions, improving all the previous results;
- observe that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 1;$$

- such regularity result is optimal!

Idea of the proof

Thanks to the previous results, we know that $\partial^* E$ is locally the graph of a function u , which minimizes the functional

$$\int_{B_R} h(x, w)(1 + |Dw|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

among all functions w satisfying the constraint

$$\int_{B_R} \int_0^{w(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = m$$

for a given constant m and with prescribed boundary values on ∂B_R .

Idea of the proof

Since h is merely Hölder continuous, we cannot write the associated Euler-Lagrange equation!!

Idea: we introduce a *comparison problem*, and consider v to be the minimizer of

$$\int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx, \quad v = u \quad \text{on } \partial B_R;$$

under the constraint

$$\int_{B_R} \int_0^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = \int_{B_R} \int_0^{u(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = m.$$

Hence v satisfies the E-L equation:

$$\int_{B_R} D_z a(Dv(x)) \cdot D\varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{B_R} f(x, v)\varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Idea of the proof

Since h is merely Hölder continuous, we cannot write the associated Euler-Lagrange equation!!

Idea: we introduce a *comparison problem*, and consider v to be the minimizer of

$$\int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx, \quad v = u \quad \text{on } \partial B_R;$$

under the constraint

$$\int_{B_R} \int_0^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = \int_{B_R} \int_0^{u(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = m.$$

Hence v satisfies the E-L equation:

$$\int_{B_R} D_z a(Dv(x)) \cdot D\varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{B_R} f(x, v)\varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Idea of the proof

Since h is merely Hölder continuous, we cannot write the associated Euler-Lagrange equation!!

Idea: we introduce a *comparison problem*, and consider v to be the minimizer of

$$\int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx, \quad v = u \quad \text{on } \partial B_R;$$

under the constraint

$$\int_{B_R} \int_0^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = \int_{B_R} \int_0^{u(x)} f(x, t) dt dx = m.$$

Hence v satisfies the E-L equation:

$$\int_{B_R} D_z a(Dv(x)) \cdot D\varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{B_R} f(x, v)\varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Idea of the proof

In order to prove that $u \in C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, it is enough to prove that for any ball $B_\rho(y) \subset B_R(0)$, we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |\partial_i u - (\partial_i u)_{B_\rho(y)}|^2 dx \leq C \rho^{n-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

We will do this in two steps:

Step 1. We first prove suitable decay estimates for the solution v of the comparison problem;

Step 2. We transfer such decay estimates from v to the solution of our original problem u .

Idea of the proof

In order to prove that $u \in C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, it is enough to prove that for any ball $B_\rho(y) \subset B_R(0)$, we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |\partial_i u - (\partial_i u)_{B_\rho(y)}|^2 dx \leq C \rho^{n-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

We will do this in two steps:

Step 1. We first prove suitable decay estimates for the solution v of the comparison problem;

Step 2. We transfer such decay estimates from v to the solution of our original problem u .

Idea of the proof

In order to prove that $u \in C^{1,\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$, it is enough to prove that for any ball $B_\rho(y) \subset B_R(0)$, we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |\partial_i u - (\partial_i u)_{B_\rho(y)}|^2 dx \leq C \rho^{n-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

We will do this in two steps:

Step 1. We first prove suitable decay estimates for the solution v of the comparison problem;

Step 2. We transfer such decay estimates from v to the solution of our original problem u .

Step 2

We need to estimate the quantity

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx.$$

In order to do that, we have to estimate the Lagrange multiplier λ .

First easy estimates:

$$\lambda \lesssim R^{-1};$$

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx \lesssim R^{n-1+\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + R^{n-1+\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}.$$

Step 2

We need to estimate the quantity

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx.$$

In order to do that, we have to estimate the Lagrange multiplier λ .

First easy estimates:

$$\lambda \lesssim R^{-1};$$

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx \lesssim R^{n-1+\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + R^{n-1+\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}.$$

Step 2

We need to estimate the quantity

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx.$$

In order to do that, we have to estimate the Lagrange multiplier λ .

First easy estimates:

$$\lambda \lesssim R^{-1};$$

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx \lesssim R^{n-1+\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + R^{n-1+\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}.$$

Step 2

We need to estimate the quantity

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx.$$

In order to do that, we have to estimate the Lagrange multiplier λ .

First easy estimates:

$$\lambda \lesssim R^{-1};$$

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx \lesssim R^{n-1+\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + R^{n-1+\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}.$$

Step 2

If $\gamma \geq \alpha$ we are happy! Otherwise, the previous estimates needs an improvement.

Lemma (Improved error estimate)

Let $u \in C^{1,\sigma}(B_R)$ with $\sigma \leq \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$, then we have that

$$|\lambda| \lesssim R^{\sigma-1}$$

and

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^2 dx \lesssim R^{n-1+\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} + R^{n-1+\frac{2}{1-\gamma}(\gamma+\sigma)}.$$

Step 1: Decay estimates for v

Proposition

Let $u \in C^{1,\sigma}(B_R)$ with $\sigma \leq \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ and let v be a solution of the comparison problem.

Then, for any $0 < r < \rho$, we have

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\partial_i v - (\partial_i v)_r|^2 dx \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n-1+2(\gamma+\sigma)} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\partial_i v - (\partial_i v)_\rho|^2 dx + r^{n-1+2(\gamma+\sigma)},$$

Conclusion

Combining **Step 1** and **Step 2**, we improve the regularity of u

from $C^{1,\gamma}$ to $C^{1,\gamma+\sigma}$.

This allows to iterate the error estimate Lemma and the decay estimates for v , to conclude

$$u \in C^{1, \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

Conclusion

Combining **Step 1** and **Step 2**, we improve the regularity of u

from $C^{1,\gamma}$ to $C^{1,\gamma+\sigma}$.

This allows to iterate the error estimate Lemma and the decay estimates for v , to conclude

$$u \in C^{1, \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}.$$

Final Remarks

- Such regularity is optimal, we provide an explicit example;
- even if the final regularity does not depend on γ we need to assume $f \in C^{0,\gamma}$;
- **Open question:** can we remove such assumption on f ?

Final Remarks

- Such regularity is optimal, we provide an explicit example;
- even if the final regularity does not depend on γ we need to assume $f \in C^{0,\gamma}$;
- **Open question:** can we remove such assumption on f ?

Final Remarks

- Such regularity is optimal, we provide an explicit example;
- even if the final regularity does not depend on γ we need to assume $f \in C^{0,\gamma}$;
- **Open question:** can we remove such assumption on f ?

Muchas gracias!!