

# CRITICAL DOUBLE PHASE PROBLEMS

Francesca Colasuonno

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna



jointly with Kanishka Perera (FIT)

June 27, 2023

International Doctoral Summer School  
in Conformal Geometry and Non-local Operators

IMAG - University of Granada

# The double phase problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) = f(x, u) & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ bdd} \quad 1 < p < q < N \quad 0 \leq a \in \operatorname{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$$

$$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + g(x, u)$$

$g$  subcritical

# The double phase problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) = f(x, u) & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ bdd} \quad 1 < p < q < N \quad 0 \leq a \in \operatorname{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$$

$$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + g(x, u)$$

$g$  subcritical

- \* **Brezis-Nirenberg** '83, Cerami-Fortunato-Struwe, Capozzo-Fortunato-Palmieri, Gazzola-Ruf...
- \*  $-\Delta_p$ : Azorero-Peral, Guedda-Véron, Egnell, de Figueireido-Gossez-Ubilla, Cingolani-Vannella, Ariola-Gazzola...
- \*  $(-\Delta)_p^s$ : Servadei-Valdinoci, Barrios-Del Pezzo-Melián-Quaas, Mawhin-Molica Bisci, Mosconi-Perera-Squassina-Yang...
- \*  $-\Delta_p - \Delta_q$ : Ho-Perera-Sim

# Nonstandard growth

In models for **strongly anisotropic materials** the following functionals arise

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + a(x)|\nabla u|^q) dx$$

$p, q$ : **hardening exponents of the materials**

$a(x) = 0$  somewhere  $\rightsquigarrow$  **two different elliptic behaviors**

\* '80-'90-s: V. V. Zhikov

- **regularity of minimizers**

- \* P. Marcellini, P. Baroni, M. Colombo, G. Mingione

# Musielak-Orlicz spaces

We consider the  $\Phi$ -function ( $\geq 0$ ; in  $t$ : continuous, convex,  $\nearrow$ ;  $L^1_{\text{loc},x}$ )

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t) := t^p + a(x)t^q \quad \forall (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$$

$$\triangleright 1 < p < q < N, \quad \frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{N} \quad (\Rightarrow q < p^*)$$

# Musielak-Orlicz spaces

We consider the  $\Phi$ -function ( $\geq 0$ ; in  $t$ : continuous, convex,  $\nearrow$ ;  $L^1_{\text{loc},x}$ )

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t) := t^p + a(x)t^q \quad \forall (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$$

$$\triangleright 1 < p < q < N, \quad \frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{N} \quad (\Rightarrow q < p^*)$$

$$L^{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) := \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ meas.} : \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, |u(x)|) dx < \infty \right\} \quad \text{modular}$$

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \inf \left\{ \gamma > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, |u(x)/\gamma|) dx \leq 1 \right\} \quad \text{Luxemburg norm}$$

$$\triangleright \min\{\|u\|^p, \|u\|^q\} \leq \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(u) \leq \max\{\|u\|^p, \|u\|^q\}$$

$$W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)\}$$

$$\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{A}} := \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

$$W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) := \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{1,\mathcal{A}}}, \quad \|u\| \stackrel{\text{Poincaré}}{:=} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

\* J. Musielak, *Springer*, 1983

# Critical growth

▷ Fan, Nonlinear Anal. '12:

- $W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{A}_*}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_*(x, \cdot)$ , Sobolev conjugate function of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is the inverse of

$$\mathcal{A}_*^{-1}(x, \tau) := \int_0^\tau \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-1}(x, t)}{t^{\frac{N+1}{N}}} dt$$

- $\Phi \ll \mathcal{A}_*$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x, kt)}{\mathcal{A}_*(x, t)} = 0 \quad \forall k > 0$ , uniformly in  $x \rightsquigarrow$   
 $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^\Phi(\Omega)$
- \* '70s: Donaldson-Trudinger, Adams  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  for Orlicz spaces, i.e.  $\Phi = \Phi(t)$  indep. of  $x$

▷ Fan, Nonlinear Anal. '12:

- $W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{A}_*}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_*(x, \cdot)$ , Sobolev conjugate function of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is the inverse of

$$\mathcal{A}_*^{-1}(x, \tau) := \int_0^\tau \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-1}(x, t)}{t^{\frac{N+1}{N}}} dt$$

- $\Phi \ll \mathcal{A}_*$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x, kt)}{\mathcal{A}_*(x, t)} = 0 \quad \forall k > 0$ , uniformly in  $x \rightsquigarrow$   
 $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^\Phi(\Omega)$

\* '70s: Donaldson-Trudinger, Adams

$\rightsquigarrow$  for Orlicz spaces, i.e.  $\Phi = \Phi(t)$  indep. of  $x$

- ▷ No results for best Sobolev constants  $W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$   
(not even for Orlicz spaces)

$$\triangleright \mathcal{A}_*(x, t) \sim \begin{cases} t^{p^*} & \text{if } a(x) = 0 \\ t^{q^*} & \text{if } a(x) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$



# Our nonlinearity

$$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + \lambda|u|^{r-2}u + c(x)|u|^{s-2}u$$

- $0 \leq \lambda, \mu, b(x), c(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), p \leq r < p^* \leq s < q^*$
- $a_0 := \inf_{\text{supp}(b)} a(x) > 0$

## EMBEDDING 1

- $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} \leq \frac{1}{S_p^{p^*/p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{p^*/p}$
- $\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q^*} \leq \frac{b_\infty}{(a_0 S_q)^{q^*/q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^q \right)^{q^*/q}, \quad b_\infty := \|b\|_\infty$
- $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega), \mathcal{B}(x, t) := t^{p^*} + b(x)t^{q^*}$

# Our nonlinearity

$$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + \lambda|u|^{r-2}u + c(x)|u|^{s-2}u$$

- $0 \leq \mu, b(x), c(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), p \leq r < p^* \leq s < q^*$
- $c(x) \leq Ca(x)^{s/q}$

## EMBEDDING 2

$$W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(x, t) := t^r + c(x)t^s, \quad 1 < r < p^*, r < s < q^*$$

- $c(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a(x) = 0 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} \ll \mathcal{A}_*$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{C}(x, kt)}{\mathcal{A}_*(x, t)} = 0 \quad \forall$   
 $k > 0$ , **uniformly in  $x$**   $\rightsquigarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$

# Our nonlinearity

$$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + \lambda|u|^{r-2}u + c(x)|u|^{s-2}u$$

- $0 \leq \mu, b(x), c(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), p \leq r < p^* \leq s < q^*$
- $c(x) \leq Ca(x)^{s/q}$

## EMBEDDING 2

$$W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(x, t) := t^r + c(x)t^s, \quad 1 < r < p^*, r < s < q^*$$

- $c(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a(x) = 0 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} \ll \mathcal{A}_*$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{C}(x, kt)}{\mathcal{A}_*(x, t)} = 0 \quad \forall k > 0$ , **uniformly in  $x$**   $\rightsquigarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$
- $\tilde{c}(x) := c(x) + a(x)^{s/q} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{c}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a(x) = 0 \rightsquigarrow W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(\Omega)$
- $\tilde{c}(x) \geq c(x) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \geq \mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow L^{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$

\* Ho, Winkert, ArXiv '22,  $c(x) = Ca(x)^\alpha$

# Existence results

$$(P_1) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) \\ \quad = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + \lambda|u|^{r-2}u & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\triangleright p \leq r < p^*, \quad \mu > 0$$

## THEOREM 1

If  $\exists B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$  where  $a(x) \equiv 0$ , then  $\exists b_* > 0$  s.t. problem  $(P_1)$  has a nontrivial weak solution when  $b_\infty < b_*$ , in each of the following cases:

- $N \geq p^2$ ,  $r = p$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(p)$
- $N \geq p^2$ ,  $p < r < p^*$ ,  $\lambda > 0$
- $N < p^2$ ,  $\frac{(Np-2N+p)p}{(N-p)(p-1)} < r < p^*$ ,  $\lambda > 0$

\* *Critical dimensions for  $-\Delta_p$* :  $N \in (p, p^2)$  [Azorero, Peral, CPDE '87]

\* Farkas, Fiscella, Winkert '22:

$1 < r < p$ ,  $b \equiv 0 \rightsquigarrow$  negative energy solutions

# Existence results

$$(P_2) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) \\ \quad = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + c(x)|u|^{s-2}u & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\triangleright p^* \leq s < q^*, \quad b \not\equiv 0$$

## THEOREM 2

If  $\exists B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$  where

$$a(x) \equiv a_0, \quad b(x) \equiv b_\infty, \quad c(x) \geq c_0 > 0$$

then  $\exists \mu_* > 0$  s.t. problem  $(P_2)$  has a nontrivial weak solution when  $0 \leq \mu < \mu_*$ , in each of the following cases:

- $1 < p < \frac{N(q-1)}{N-1}, \frac{N^2(q-1)}{(N-1)(N-q)} < s < q^*$
- $\frac{N(q-1)}{N-1} \leq p < q, \frac{Np}{N-q} < s < q^*$

\* Ha, Ho, ArXiv, '23: both  $\mu$  and  $b$  small via Concentration Cpt Principle

# Strategy of proofs

For all  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(\Omega)$

$$E(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p} + a(x) \frac{|\nabla u|^q}{q} - \frac{\mu}{p^*} |u|^{p^*} - \frac{b(x)}{q^*} |u|^{q^*} - G(x, u) \right)$$

$$G(x, t) - \frac{t}{\sigma} g(x, t) \leq \mu \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) |t|^{p^*} + c_1, \quad q < \sigma < p^*, \quad \forall (x, t)$$

✓ Mountain pass geometry

## FOR BREZIS-NIRENBERG'S PROBLEM

For  $-\Delta u = \lambda u + u^{2^*-1}$

- 1  $E$  satisfies  $(PS)_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2})$
- 2 Mountain pass energy level  $c < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} \rightsquigarrow$  use  $U_{\varepsilon}$  realizing  $S$

We do not know if  $\inf_{W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \|u\|_{W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^N)} / \|u\|_{L^{A^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  is realized (the problem is open even for Orlicz spaces)

# Compactness result

$\exists$  a threshold  $\beta^* > 0$  for compactness:

## COMPACTNESS

If

$$0 < \beta < \beta^*(\mu, b_\infty)$$

then every  $(PS)_\beta$  sequence for  $E$  has a subsequence that converges weakly to a **nontrivial** weak solution of  $(P)$ .

Due to inhomogeneity, we do not have  
a closed form formula for the threshold  $\beta^*$

# Threshold for compactness

$$\beta^*(\mu, b_\infty) := \inf_{(X,Y,Z,W) \in \mathcal{S}(\mu, b_\infty)} I(X, Y, Z, W)$$

$$\triangleright I(X, Y, Z, W) := \frac{1}{p} X + \frac{1}{q} Y - \frac{1}{p^*} Z - \frac{1}{q^*} W$$

$\triangleright \mathcal{S}(\mu, b_\infty)$  is the set of points  $(X, Y, Z, W) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^4$  s.t.

❶  $I(X, Y, Z, W) > 0$

❷  $X + Y = Z + W$

❸  $Z \leq \frac{\mu}{S_p^{p^*/p}} X^{p^*/p}, \quad W \leq \frac{b_\infty}{(a_0 S_q)^{q^*/q}} Y^{q^*/q}$

Asymptotics:

$$\beta^*(\mu, b_\infty) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \frac{S_p^{N/p}}{\mu^{(N-p)/p}} + o(1) & \text{as } b_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{if } \mu > 0, \\ \frac{1}{N} \frac{(a_0 S_q)^{N/q}}{b_\infty^{(N-q)/q}} + o(1) & \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{if } b_\infty > 0, \end{cases}$$



# Idea of proof for compactness

1.  $(u_j)$  a  $(PS)_\beta$  sequence  $\xRightarrow{\text{shape of } g}$  bounded  $\Rightarrow u_j \rightharpoonup u$
2.  $u_j \rightharpoonup u$  and  $E'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \nabla u_j \rightarrow \nabla u$  a.e.
3.  $u$  weak sol

# Idea of proof for compactness

1.  $(u_j)$  a  $(PS)_\beta$  sequence  $\stackrel{\text{shape of } g}{\Rightarrow}$  bounded  $\Rightarrow u_j \rightharpoonup u$
2.  $u_j \rightharpoonup u$  and  $E'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \nabla u_j \rightarrow \nabla u$  a.e.
3.  $u$  weak sol

It remains to prove that  $u \neq 0$ , we argue by contradiction:

4.  $(u_j)$  a  $(PS)_\beta$   $g$  subcritical  $\Rightarrow$   
$$I \left( \int |\nabla u_j|^p, \int |\nabla u_j|^q, \int |u_j|^{p^*}, \int |u_j|^{q^*} \right) = \beta + o(1) > 0$$
$$\int |\nabla u_j|^p + \int |\nabla u_j|^q = \int |u_j|^{p^*} + \int |u_j|^{q^*} + o(1)$$
5.  $(\int |\nabla u_j|^p, \int |\nabla u_j|^q, \int |u_j|^{p^*}, \int |u_j|^{q^*}) \rightarrow (X, Y, Z, W)$
6.  $\int |u_j|^{p^*} \leq \frac{\mu}{S_p^{p^*/p}} (\int |\nabla u_j|^p)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}, \int |u_j|^{q^*} \leq \frac{b_\infty}{(a_0 S_q)^{q^*/q}} (\int |\nabla u_j|^q)^{\frac{q^*}{q}}$
7. 4. - 6.  $\Rightarrow (X, Y, Z, W) \in \mathcal{S}(\mu, b_\infty)$  and  $I(X, Y, Z, W) = \beta$   
 $\beta^*$  is infimum  $\Rightarrow \beta \geq \beta^*$

# Idea of proof for existence

$$\beta := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma(t))$$

asymptotics on  $\beta^*$   $\rightsquigarrow$  we prove  $\beta < \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \frac{S_p^{N/p}}{\mu^{(N-p)/p}} & \text{for } b_\infty \text{ small, if } \mu > 0 \\ \frac{1}{N} \frac{(a_0 S_q)^{N/q}}{b_\infty^{(N-q)/q}} & \text{for } \mu \text{ small, if } b_\infty > 0 \end{cases}$

# Idea of proof for existence

$$\beta := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma(t))$$

asymptotics on  $\beta^*$   $\rightsquigarrow$  we prove  $\beta < \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \frac{S_p^{N/p}}{\mu^{(N-p)/p}} & \text{for } b_\infty \text{ small, if } \mu > 0 \\ \frac{1}{N} \frac{(a_0 S_q)^{N/q}}{b_\infty^{(N-q)/q}} & \text{for } \mu \text{ small, if } b_\infty > 0 \end{cases}$

Focus on Thm 1

- $v_\varepsilon := \frac{\psi(x)U_{\varepsilon,p}}{\|\psi(x)U_{\varepsilon,p}\|_{p^*}}$ ,  $\psi$  cutoff supported in  $B_\rho(x_0)$ ,  $tv_\varepsilon \in \Gamma$ ,  
 $\beta \leq \max_{t \geq 0} E(tv_\varepsilon)$  ( $t_{\max}$  not explicit due to inhomogeneity)
- by contradiction let  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  be s.t.  
 $\max_{t \geq 0} E(tv_{\varepsilon_j}) \geq \frac{1}{N} \frac{S_p^{N/p}}{\mu^{(N-p)/p}}$
- $\Rightarrow (t_j)$  (maximum points) bdd  $\Rightarrow t_j \rightarrow t_0 > 0$
- ingredients:  $t_j$  maximum points, estimates for  $U_{\varepsilon,p} \rightsquigarrow t_0 = 0$   
Absurd □

# Pohožaev-type identity

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u) = f(x, u) & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

If  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of (P), then we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{Nq} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q (\nabla a \cdot x) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) |\partial_{\nu} u|^p + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) a(x) |\partial_{\nu} u|^q \right] (x \cdot \nu) d\sigma \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left[ F(x, u) - \frac{1}{q^*} f(x, u)u \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

# Nonexistence results

## THEOREM

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded and starshaped  $C^1$ -domain,  $1 < p < q < N$ ,  $q/p < 1 + 1/N$ , and  $0 \leq a \in C^1(\Omega)$  be radial and radially nondecreasing. Let

$f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u + c(x)|u|^{r-2}u$ , with  $p \leq r < q^*$ ,  $\mu \leq 0$ , and  $b, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Problem (P) does not admit a non-zero weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,A}(\Omega)$  in each of the following cases:

- (I)  $c(x) \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ;
- (II)  $r = p$  and  $0 < \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \lambda_1(p) \frac{N(q-p)}{N(q-p)+pq}$ ;
- (II)  $\Omega$  is strictly starshaped,  $r = p$ , and  $\|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \lambda_1(p) \frac{N(q-p)}{N(q-p)+pq}$ .

# Nonexistence results for small solutions

## THEOREM

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded and starshaped  $C^1$ -domain,  
 $1 < p < q < N$ ,  $q/p < 1 + 1/N$ ,  $0 \leq a \in C^1(\Omega)$  be radial and  
radially nondecreasing, and let

$f(x, u) = c(x)|u|^{r-2}u + \mu|u|^{p^*-2}u + b(x)|u|^{q^*-2}u$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ ,

$0 \leq b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\inf_{\text{supp}(b)} a(x) > 0$ , and

$0 \leq c \in L^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists a positive constant

$\kappa = \kappa(\Omega, p, q, r, a, c, \mu, b_\infty)$  such that (P) does not admit a weak  
solution  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$  belonging to the ball

$\{u \in W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega) : \|u\| \leq \kappa\}$  in each of the following cases:

- (I)  $p < r \leq p^*$ ;
- (II)  $p^* < r < q^*$  and  $c(x)$  satisfies  $c(x) \leq C a(x)^{s/q}$ ;
- (III)  $p^* < r < q^*$  and  $c(x)$  satisfies  $a'_0 := \inf_{\text{supp}(c)} a(x) > 0$ .

- Critical dimensions
- Is  $L^{\mathcal{A}^*}(\Omega)$  the smallest Musielak-Orlicz space in which  $W^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$  is embedded?
  - \* Cianchi, Indiana, '96: for Orlicz spaces
    - *optimal* embedding  $W^{1,\Phi} \hookrightarrow L^{\Phi_N}$
    - $L^{\Phi^*}$  is not the smallest in the limiting Sobolev case
  - \* Ho, Winkert, ArXiv '22:  $t^{p^*} + a(x)^{q^*/q}t^{q^*}$  is optimal among  $t^r + a(x)^\alpha t^s$
- Existence of minimizers for  $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\Phi_N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$



**Thank you for your attention!**