Uniqueness results for local and non-local Dirichlet problems

Isabella lanni



IMAG Granada June 28, 2023

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

(*)_p

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq$ 2, smooth bounded domain

$$1
$$p_c := \begin{cases} \frac{N+2}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3 \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}$$$$

 $\lambda > -\lambda_1(\Omega)$



$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

3

2

where

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, smooth bounded domain

$$1 $p_c := \begin{cases} rac{N+2}{N-2} & ext{if } N \ge \\ +\infty & ext{if } N = \end{cases}$$$



 $\lambda > -\lambda_1(\Omega)$

• there exists a (least energy) solution

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^p & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$



where

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, smooth bounded domain

 $1
<math display="block">p_c := \begin{cases} \frac{N+2}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3 \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}$

 $\lambda > -\lambda_1(\Omega)$



- there exists a (least energy) solution
- multiplicity/uniqueness results depending on Ω and p

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^p & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$



where

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, smooth bounded domain

 $1
<math display="block">p_c := \begin{cases} \frac{N+2}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3 \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}$

 $\lambda > -\lambda_1(\Omega)$



- there exists a (least energy) solution
- multiplicity/uniqueness results depending on Ω and p

• outline of the known uniqueness results for this problem

- outline of the known uniqueness results for this problem
- the Lane-Emden equation ($\lambda=0$) in convex domains $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$

F. De Marchis, M. Grossi, F. Pacella - Sapienza University, Roma (Italy)

- outline of the known uniqueness results for this problem
- the Lane-Emden equation $(\lambda=0)$ in convex domains $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$

F. De Marchis, M. Grossi, F. Pacella - Sapienza University, Roma (Italy)

• Fractional Laplacian case

A. Dieb - Université Abou Bakr Belkaïd, Tlemcen (Algeria)A. Saldaña - UNAM, Mexico City (Mexico)

Uniqueness in the ball if $\lambda = 0$

if $\Omega = B$ then there is a unique solution to $(*)_p$





so $(*)_p$ reduces to an ODE problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \frac{N-1}{r}u' + u^p = 0 & \text{in } (0, R) \\ u'(0) = 0 & \end{cases}$$

and u(R) = 0, u > 0 in (0, R). If by contradiction v is another solution then

$$w(r) := a^{\frac{2}{p-2}}v(ar), \qquad a := \left[\frac{u(0)}{v(0)}\right]^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$

solves the Initial Value Problem (by the homogeneity of u^p)

$$\begin{cases} w'' - \frac{N-1}{r}w' + w^p = 0 & \text{in } (0, \frac{R}{a}) \\ w'(0) = 0 \\ w(0) = u(0) \end{cases}$$

and $w(\frac{R}{a}) = 0$. So $w \equiv u$ by uniqueness and as a consequence, using the boundary condition, $v \equiv u$.

Uniqueness in the ball if $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$





if $\Omega = B$ then there is a unique solution to $(*)_p$





Ni & Nussbaum, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1985

- Kwong & Li, Trans. AMS 1992
- Zhang, Comm. PDE 1992
- Srikanth, Diff. Int. Eq. 1993
 - Adimurthi & Yadava, ARMA 1994
 - Aftalion & Pacella, JDE 2003

Non-uniqueness: the role of the nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } B\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B\\ u > 0 & \text{in } B \end{cases}$$



An example for which uniqueness fails:

$$egin{aligned} f(u) &= \mu u^q + u^p \ p \in (1, rac{N+2}{N-2}), & q \in (0,1) \end{aligned}$$
 $(\mu > 0 ext{ small}) \end{aligned}$



Ambrosetti, Brezis & Cerami, JFA 1994

 $\Omega \neq B$?

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega
\end{cases} (*)_{p}$$

The question of the uniqueness of the solutions of $(*)_p$ in domains Ω other then the ball was raised already in Gidas, Ni & Nirenberg, CMP 1979 :

2.8. Theorem 1 yields a positive response to a question put us by C. Holland. For p > 1, is the positive solution u of

 $\Delta u + u^p = 0$ in |x| < R, u = 0 on |x| = R (2.8)

unique? (The question is still open for other domains.) According to Theorem 1

• for any Ω when $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\Omega) > 0$



• for any Ω when $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\Omega) > 0$



(uniqueness and nondegeneracy)

• for $\Omega \sim B$, $N \geq 3$, $\lambda = 0$





Zou, Ann. SNS Pisa 1994

• for any Ω when $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\Omega) > 0$





 $N = 2, \forall p > 1$

 $N \geq 3, p = \frac{N+2}{N-2} - \varepsilon$

Dancer, JDE 1988 ($\Omega \sim B$)



Damascelli, Grossi & Pacella, AHIP 1999

Grossi, ADE 2000

(uniqueness and nondegeneracy)

• for any Ω when $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\Omega) > 0$



Grossi, ADE 2000

(uniqueness and nondegeneracy)

• for Ω unit square, N = 2 p = 2, 3

McKenna, Pacella, Plum & Roth, JDE 2009/ Inter. Ser. Numer. Math 2012

Multiplicity results in non-convex domains

annular shaped domains (effect of topology):

Brezis & Nirenberg, CPAM 1983 annulus & $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2} - \varepsilon$, $N \ge 3$ Lin, TAMS 1992 thin annulus, non-radial bifurcation Y.Y. Li, JDE, 1990 expanding annulus, non-radial bifurcation Byeon, JDE 1997 Ω Catrina & Wang, JDE 1999 Gladiali, Grossi, Pacella & Srikanth. Calc. Var. 2011 expanding annulus, non-radial bifurcation Esposito, Musso & Pistoia, JDE 2006 N = 2, not simply connected, p large, \exists multi-spike solutions Bartsch, Clapp, Grossi & Pacella, Math. Ann. 2012 expanding annular domains, ∃ asympt. radial solution Dancer & Yan, CPDE 2002 expanding annular domains, ∃ multi-bump solutions dumb-bell shaped domains (contactible, star-shaped, etc):

Ω

Ω

- ī

Dancer, JDE 1988 & JDE 1990 Byeon, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A 2001

Esposito, Musso & Pistoia, JDE 2006 N = 2, p large, \exists multi-spike solutions

Multiplicity results in non-convex domains

annular shaped domains (effect of topology):

Brezis & Nirenberg, CPAM 1983 annulus & $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2} - \varepsilon$, $N \ge 3$ Lin, TAMS 1992 thin annulus, non-radial bifurcation Y.Y. Li, JDE, 1990 expanding annulus, non-radial bifurcation Byeon, JDE 1997 Ω Catrina & Wang, JDE 1999 Gladiali, Grossi, Pacella & Srikanth. Calc. Var. 2011 expanding annulus, non-radial bifurcation Esposito, Musso & Pistoia, JDE 2006 N = 2, not simply connected, p large, \exists multi-spike solutions Bartsch, Clapp, Grossi & Pacella, Math. Ann. 2012 expanding annular domains, \exists asympt. radial solution Dancer & Yan, CPDE 2002 expanding annular domains, ∃ multi-bump solutions dumb-bell shaped domains (contactible, star-shaped, etc): Dancer, JDE 1988 & JDE 1990 Ω

Ω

- Byeon, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A 2001
 - Esposito, Musso & Pistoia, JDE 2006 N = 2, p large, \exists multi-spike solutions
- in all these cases the domain is NOT CONVEX!

The uniqueness conjecture in convex domains

The uniqueness conjecture in convex domains



is no uniqueness [38]. A geometric assumption on a which induces uniqueness might be convexity. This problem plays a role in "fast dif-

Dancer, JDE 1988

Last, we obtain some very simple results on the uniqueness of positive solutions on certain highly symmetric domains. This problem seems to require much more work. Indeed, we conjecture that uniqueness holds for $f(y) = y^p$ if Ω is convex and 1 .

The uniqueness conjecture in convex domains

CONJECTURE (Kawohl '85 / Dancer '88)

If Ω is convex then there is a unique solution to $(*)_p$



Very challenging problem, solved only when $\Omega = B$ is a ball

Remark. Convexity of Ω is not necessary for uniqueness

 $\Omega \neq B$ convex. A partial uniqueness result for the Lane-Emden problem (i.e. $\lambda = 0$)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-\Delta u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.$$

 $(LE)_p$

• for any Ω convex, N = 2, $\forall p > 1$ uniqueness of least energy solutions of $(LE)_p$



We consider problem $(LE)_p$ in dimension N = 2. When Ω is convex:



We consider problem $(LE)_p$ in dimension N = 2. When Ω is convex:



We prove the conjecture for any *p* large enough:

We consider problem $(LE)_p$ in dimension N = 2. When Ω is convex:



We prove the conjecture for any p large enough:

We consider problem $(LE)_p$ in dimension N = 2. When Ω is convex:



We prove the conjecture for any p large enough:

Theorem [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella] Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth bounded and convex domain, then there exists $p^* = p^*(\Omega) > 1$ such that $(LE)_p$ has a unique solution for any $p \ge p^*$ and it is nondegenerate One of the main tools of our proof: the Morse index

The Morse index of a solution
$$u_p$$
 of $(LE)_p$ is
 $m(u_p) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{k,p} < 1\}$
where $(0 <) \lambda_{1,p} < \lambda_{2,p} \le \lambda_{3,p} \le \dots$ is the sequence of eigenvalues for
the linear problem
 $\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \lambda \ p u_p^{p-1} \ v & \text{in } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$ $(Lin)_p$
• $m(u_p) \ge 1$ $(\forall N \ge 2, \forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \forall 1
 $\lambda_{1,p} = \frac{1}{p} < 1$ $\frac{\lambda_{1,p}}{0}$
• problem: computation/a priori bounds for the Morse index$

C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994

C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994

C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994



C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994



C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994


Uniqueness – non-degeneracy – Morse index

C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994

non-degeneracy $\forall p \Rightarrow$ uniqueness $(\forall N \ge 2, \forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \forall 1$



Uniqueness – non-degeneracy – Morse index

C.S. Lin, Manuscr. Math. 1994

non-degeneracy $\forall p \Rightarrow$ uniqueness $(\forall N \ge 2, \forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \forall 1$



If N = 2 and Ω convex then any Morse index 1-solution is non-degenerate

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{1,p} = \frac{1}{p} & \lambda_{2,p} \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

(any least energy solution has Morse index 1, $\forall p$)

Our proof

Key Theorem [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella] If N = 2 and Ω convex then $\exists p^* = p^*(\Omega) > 1$ such that any solution of $(LE)_p$ has Morse index=1 if $p \ge p^*$ Morse index computation for p large

asymptotic analysis as $p \to +\infty$ for families u_p of solutions to $(LE)_p$

₩

computation of the Morse index $m(u_p)$ for p large

Morse index computation for p large



١

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \lambda p u_p^{p-1} v & \text{in } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{1,p}} 0 & 1 \\ \end{cases}$$

Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N = 2, any Ω .



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

• $p_n u_{p_n} \longrightarrow 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

• $p_n u_{p_n} \longrightarrow 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$

•
$$\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{n} \sqrt{e}$$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

- $p_n u_{p_n} \xrightarrow{n} 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$
- $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{n} \sqrt{e}$
- $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function $\Psi_k : \Omega^k \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_i [H(x_i, x_i) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} G(x_i, x_\ell)]$$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

- $p_n u_{p_n} \xrightarrow{n} 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$
- $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{n} \sqrt{e}$
- $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function $\Psi_k : \Omega^k \to \mathbb{R}$

 $\Psi_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_i [H(x_i, x_i) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} G(x_i, x_\ell)]$

• suitable scaling of u_{p_n} around each x_i converges to U



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

- $p_n u_{p_n} \xrightarrow{n} 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$
- $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow[n]{} \sqrt{e}$
- $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function $\Psi_k : \Omega^k \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_i [H(x_i, x_i) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} G(x_i, x_\ell)]$$

• suitable scaling of u_{p_n} around each x_i converges to U

$$U(x) = \log \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{8}|x|^2)^2}$$
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U = e^U & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\\ U(0) = 0, \ U \le 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U = 8\pi \end{cases}$$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

- $p_n u_{p_n} \xrightarrow{n} 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$
- $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow[n]{} \sqrt{e}$
- $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function $\Psi_k : \Omega^k \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_i [H(x_i, x_i) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} G(x_i, x_\ell)]$$

• suitable scaling of u_{p_n} around each x_i converges to U

$$U(x) = \log \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{8}|x|^2)^2}$$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U = e^U \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ U(0) = 0, \ U \le 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U = 8\pi \\ 0 \ p_n \|\nabla u_{pn}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \longrightarrow 8\pi e \cdot k \quad \text{(energy quantization)} \end{cases}$$



Theorem [De Marchis, I., Pacella]

N=2, any Ω . Let $(u_p)_p$, be a family of solutions to $(LE)_p$ such that

 $\sup_{p} p \|\nabla u_{p}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} < +\infty$

Then $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots x_k \in \Omega$ and $\exists p_n \rightarrow_n +\infty$ such that:

- $p_n u_{p_n} \xrightarrow{n} 8\pi \sqrt{e} \sum_{i=1}^k G(\cdot, x_i)$ in $C^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\})$
- $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x_i))} \sim \|u_{p_n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \xrightarrow[n]{} \sqrt{e}$
- $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function $\Psi_k : \Omega^k \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi_k(\bar{x}) := \sum_i [H(x_i, x_i) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} G(x_i, x_\ell)]$$

• suitable scaling of u_{p_n} around each x_i converges to U

$$U(x) = \log \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{8}|x|^2)^2}$$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U = e^U \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\\ U(0) = 0, \ U \le 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U = 8\pi \end{cases}$$

$$p_n \|\nabla u_{p_n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \xrightarrow[n]{} 8\pi e \cdot k \quad \text{(energy quantization)}$$









Theorem $(k \ge 2: [I., Luo, Yan], k = 1: [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella])$

Let N = 2, Ω any. Let u_p be a family of *k*-peaks solutions to $(LE)_p$ concentrating at $\bar{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Omega^k$ - which is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function Ψ_k .



Theorem $(k \ge 2: [I., Luo, Yan], k = 1: [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella])$

Let N = 2, Ω any. Let u_p be a family of *k*-peaks solutions to $(LE)_p$ concentrating at $\bar{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Omega^k$ - which is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function Ψ_k .

Then $\exists p^* > 1$ such that

 $(k \leq) k + m(\bar{x}) \leq m(u_p) \leq m_0(u_p) \leq k + m_0(\bar{x}) (\leq 3k), \text{ for } p \geq p^*$

 $(m(\bar{x})/m_0(\bar{x}))$ is the Morse index/augmented Morse index of \bar{x} as a critical point of Ψ_k

Theorem ($k \ge 2$: [I., Luo, Yan], k = 1: [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella])

Let N = 2, Ω any. Let u_p be a family of *k*-peaks solutions to $(LE)_p$ concentrating at $\bar{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Omega^k$ - which is a critical point of the Kirchoff-Routh function Ψ_k .

Then $\exists \ p^{\star} > 1$ such that

$$(k\leq)$$
 $k+m(ar{x})\leq m(u_p)\leq m_0(u_p)\leq k+m_0(ar{x})~(\leq 3k),~~{
m for}~p\geq 0$

In particular if \bar{x} is non-degenerate then

 $m(u_p) = k + m(\bar{x}), \text{ for } p \ge p^*$

and u_p is non-degenerate for $p \ge p^*$

 $(m(\bar{x})/m_0(\bar{x}))$ is the Morse index/augmented Morse index of \bar{x} as a critical point of Ψ_k

Back to the proof of the uniqueness, for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ convex

From this general Morse index formula then one deduces the following:

Key Theorem If N = 2 and Ω convex then $\exists p^* = p^*(\Omega) > 1$ such that $m(u_p) = 1$ for any solution u_p of $(LE)_p$ when $p \ge p^*$

Uniqueness of positive solutions in convex domains

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega
\end{cases} (LE)_{p}$$

Theorem [De Marchis, Grossi, I., Pacella]

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth bounded and convex domain, then there exists $p^\star=p^\star(\Omega)>1$ such that

 $(LE)_p$ has a unique solution for any $p \ge p^*$



$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega\\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(*)_p

where

 $egin{aligned} &s \in (0,1) \ &\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \ N \geq 2, ext{ smooth bounded} \ &1 -\lambda_1^s(\Omega) \end{aligned}$

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) := C_{N,s} \ p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dy$$



$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega\\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(*)_p

where

 $s \in (0, 1)$ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, N \ge 2$, smooth bounded 1 $<math>\lambda > -\lambda_1^s(\Omega)$

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) := C_{N,s} \ p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dy$$

existence

Servadei, & Valdinoci, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2012, DCDS 2013

multiplicity results

may be deduced for instance for $\lambda=0$ and $p=\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}-\varepsilon$ from the one bubble solutions in

Davila, Lopez Rios & Sire, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 2017

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)

NO RESULTS IN THE LITERATURE

Some uniqueness results for fractional problems in \mathbb{R}^N

For ground states of fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N :

- Fall & Valdinoci, Comm. Math. Phys. 2014
- Frank & Lenzmann, Acta Math. 2013
- Frank, Lenzmann & Silvestre, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2016

For radial ground states of the fractional plasma equation in \mathbb{R}^N and of the fractional critical and supercritical Lane-Emden equation in \mathbb{R}^N :

Chan, del Mar Gonzalez, Huang, Mainini & Volzone, Calc. Var. 2020

For radial ground states of the critical fractional Henon equation in \mathbb{R}^N :

Alarcon, Barrios & Quaas, DCDS 2023

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

NO RESULTS IN THE LITERATURE

Even for $\lambda = 0$ and $\Omega = B$ uniqueness is still an open problem!

Jarohs & Weth, DCDS 2014 radial and decreasing

Main issues:

no ODE techniques

Ao, Chan, DelaTorre, Fontelos, del Mar Gonzalez & Wei, J. Math. St. 2020

no Hopf Lemma for sign-changing solutions

no Courant's nodal theorem

no monotonicity formulas in bounded domains



(F)

Our first result: uniqueness in the asymptotically local case $(s \sim 1)$

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Theorem [Dieb, I., Saldaña]

If uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega
\end{cases}$$
(L)

(F)

then there exists $\sigma = \sigma(\Omega, \lambda, \rho) \in (0, 1)$ such that uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for problem (*F*), if $s \in (\sigma, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega\\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)



local:

Gidas, Ni & Nirenberg, Comm. Math. Phys. 1979

Kwong & Li, TAMS 1992



Ni & Nussbaum, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1985



Srikanth, Diff. Int. Eqs. 1993



Zhang, Comm. Part. PDEs, 1992

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)

Corollary

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ symmetric and convex with respect to N orthogonal directions, $\lambda = 0$ and



$$\begin{aligned} p > 1 \text{ if } N &= 2 \\ p \in (\frac{N+2}{N-2} - \varepsilon, \frac{N+2}{N-2}), \ \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small, if } N \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

then there exists $\sigma = \sigma(\Omega, p) \in (0, 1)$ such that

Ν

 $N \geq 3$,

uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for problem (F), if $s \in (\sigma, 1]$

local:

$$=2, \forall p>1$$

Dancer, JDE 1988 ($\Omega \sim B$)

Damascelli, Grossi & Pacella, AHIP 1999

$$p \in \left(\frac{N+2}{N-2} - \varepsilon, \frac{N+2}{N-2}\right)$$
 Grossi, ADE 2000

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega\\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)



local:



C.-S. Lin, Manuscr. Math 1994

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)

Ω

Corollary

```
Let \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 convex and \lambda = 0. There exists p^* = p^*(\Omega) > 1
such that for any p > p^* there is \sigma = \sigma(\Omega, p) \in (0, 1)
such that
problem (F) admits a unique solution if s \in (\sigma, 1], and it is
nondegenerate
```

local:

De Marchis, Grossi, I. & Pacella, J. Math. Pures Appl. 2019

Idea of the proof (uniqueness)

Theorem [Dieb, I., Saldaña]

Assume that uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \lambda u &= u^{\rho} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u &> 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{L}$$

then there exists $\sigma = \sigma(\Omega, \lambda, p) \in (0, 1)$ such that for $s \in (\sigma, 1]$ uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)

PROOF It follows by a standard contradiction argument, ... with some delicate points Assume by contradiction that (F) admits 2 nontrivial solutions u_n and v_n

$$u_n \neq v_n$$
 for $s = s_n \rightarrow 1^-$

• we show that, up to a subsequence

$$u_n o u$$
 in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as $s_n o 1$
 $v_n o v$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as $s_n o 1$

where u, v are nontrivial positive solutions of (L)

• by assumption $u = v = u_*$ where u_* is the unique positive solution of (L) hence $V_n := \int_0^1 p(tu_n + (1-t)v_n)^{p-1} dt \rightarrow pu_*^{p-1}$

Define

$$w_n:=\frac{u_n-v_n}{\|u_n-v_n\|_{L^{\infty}}}\neq 0$$

which solve

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_n} w_n = V_n w_n - \lambda w_n & \text{in } \Omega \\ w_n = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \\ \|w_n\|_{L^{\infty}} = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

we can prove that

$$w_n \to w \neq 0$$
 in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

which solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + \lambda w = p u_*^{p-1} w & \text{ in } \Omega \\ w = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

against the nondegeneracy assumption on u_*

• by assumption $u = v = u_*$ where u_* is the unique positive solution of (L) hence $V_n := \int_0^1 p(tu_n + (1-t)v_n)^{p-1} dt \rightarrow pu_*^{p-1}$

Define

$$w_n:=\frac{u_n-v_n}{\|u_n-v_n\|_{L^{\infty}}}\neq 0$$

which solve

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_n} w_n = V_n w_n - \lambda w_n & \text{in } \Omega \\ w_n = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \\ \|w_n\|_{L^{\infty}} = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

we can prove that

$$w_n \to w \neq 0$$
 in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

which solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + \lambda w = p u_*^{p-1} w & \text{ in } \Omega \\ w = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

against the nondegeneracy assumption on u_*

Idea of the proof (uniqueness)

Theorem [Dieb, I., Saldaña]

Assume that uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \lambda u &= u^{\rho} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u &> 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{L}$$

then there exists $\sigma = \sigma(\Omega, \lambda, p) \in (0, 1)$ such that for $s \in (\sigma, 1]$ uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(F)

PROOF It follows by a standard contradiction argument, ... with some delicate points Assume by contradiction that (F) admits 2 nontrivial solutions u_n and v_n

$$u_n \neq v_n$$
 for $s = s_n \rightarrow 1^-$

• we show that, up to a subsequence

$$egin{array}{ccc} u_n
ightarrow u & ext{in } L^\infty(\Omega) & ext{as } s_n
ightarrow 1 \ v_n
ightarrow v & ext{in } L^\infty(\Omega) & ext{as } s_n
ightarrow 1 \end{array}
ightarrow$$

where u, v are nontrivial positive solutions of (L)
SUBTLE ISSUE in the proof: the nonlocal-to-local transition

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

Let u_s be a solution of (F). Then up to a subsequence

(F)

 $u_s
ightarrow u$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ as $s
ightarrow 1^-$

where u is a (positive) solution of (L)

we need *uniform-in-s a-priori* bounds, for $s \sim 1$:

There exists $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $C = C(\lambda, p, \Omega, \sigma) > 0$ (independent on s) such that

 $\|u_s\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$

for any $s \in (\sigma, 1)$ and any solution u_s of (F)

Proof It relies on a blow-up argument.

Since the blow-up parameter is the Laplacian's exponent s, we need to control (as $s \rightarrow 1$) the constants which appear from regularity estimates.

Uniqueness for fractional asymptotically linear problems $(p \sim 1)$

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

(F)

Theorem [Dieb, I., Saldaña] Let $s \in (0, 1)$. There exists $\delta = \delta(\Omega, \lambda, s)$ such that uniqueness and nondegeneracy hold for problem (F), if $p \in (1, 1 + \delta)$



THE END

THE END

Asymptotic characterization of positive solutions:



De Marchis, I. & Pacella, J. Europ. Math. Soc. 2015

De Marchis, I. & Pacella, J. Fix Point Th. Appl. 2017

De Marchis, Grossi, I. & Pacella, Arch. der Math. 2018

Uniqueness of positive solutions in convex domains & Morse index for 1-peak solutions:



De Marchis, Grossi, I. & Pacella, J. Math. Pures Appl. 2019

Local uniqueness, non-degeneracy and Morse index for k-peaks, solutions, $\forall k \geq 1$



Grossi, I., Luo & Yan, J. Math. Pures Appl. 2022

📕 I., Luo & Yan, preprint

The fractional case

Dieb, I. & Saldana, Nonlinear Analysis, to appear

THE END

Asymptotic characterization of positive solutions:



De Marchis, I. & Pacella, J. Europ. Math. Soc. 2015

De Marchis, I. & Pacella, J. Fix Point Th. Appl. 2017

De Marchis, Grossi, I. & Pacella, Arch. der Math. 2018

Uniqueness of positive solutions in convex domains & Morse index for 1-peak solutions:



De Marchis, Grossi, I. & Pacella, J. Math. Pures Appl. 2019

Local uniqueness, non-degeneracy and Morse index for k-peaks, solutions, $\forall k \geq 1$



Grossi, I., Luo & Yan, J. Math. Pures Appl. 2022

📕 I., Luo & Yan, preprint

The fractional case

Dieb, I. & Saldana, Nonlinear Analysis, to appear

Thank you!

Some open problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \text{ convex} \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

(L)

• *N* ≥ 3

• the case $\lambda \neq 0$ in N = 2



no quantitative information about δ and p^{\star}

Some open problems:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \lambda u = u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

(*F*)

• $\Omega = B$, for any $s \in (0, 1)$