Hidden Hearing Loss

Dr. Joaquin Valderrama (joaquin.valderrama@nal.gov.au)

National Acoustic Laboratories

Dpt of Linguistics, Macquarie University

Sydney, 16th of July, 2020

- 120 dB → $I_{max} = 1{,}000{,}000{,}000{,}000 \cdot I_{min}$





High Spontaneous Rate (HSR)

Low Spontaneous Rate (LSR)

HT fibres (LSRs) play an important role in speech perception in noise

Behavioral/Systems/Cognitive

The Journal of Neuroscience, November 11, 2009 • 29(45):14077–14085 • 14077

Adding Insult to Injury: Cochlear Nerve Degeneration after "Temporary" Noise-Induced Hearing Loss

Sharon G. Kujawa[1,2,3,4] and M. Charles Liberman[1,2,4]

- Anaesthetized mice
- 8-16 kHz noise
- 2 h, 100 dB SPL





Noise damaged HT fibers

And how were hair cells affected?





Noise did not damage outer hair cells







Noise-exposure “disconnects” hair cell synaptic ribbons from cochlear nerve terminals

J Neurophysiol 110: 577–586, 2013.
First published April 17, 2013; doi:10.1152/jn.00164.2013.

Noise-induced cochlear neuropathy is selective for fibers with low spontaneous rates

Adam C. Furman,[2,4] Sharon G. Kujawa,[1,3,4] and M. Charles Liberman[1,2,4]







Noise exposure affects HT-ANF

The Journal of Neuroscience, May 13, 2015 • 35(19):7509–7520 • 7509

Aging after Noise Exposure: Acceleration of Cochlear Synaptopathy in "Recovered" Ears

Katharine A. Fernandez,[1,2] Penelope W.C. Jeffers,[2] Kumud Lall,[1,2] M. Charles Liberman,[1,2] and Sharon G. Kujawa[1,2,3]



Synapsis deafferentiation leads to ANF dead

Animal model of Hidden Hearing Loss



References

- Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (**2009**). “Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after ‘temporary’ noise-induced hearing loss”. The Journal of Neuroscience 29(45): 14077-14085.
- Furman AC, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (**2013**). “Noise-induced cochlear neuropathy is selective for fibers with low spontaneous rates”. Journal of Neurophysiology 110: 577-586.
- Fernandez KA, Jeffers PWC, Lall K, Liberman MC, Kujawa SG (**2014**). “Aging after noise exposure: acceleration of cochlear synaptopathy in ‘recovered’ ears”. The Journal of Neuroscience 35(19): 7509-7520.
- Bharadwaj HM, Verhulst S, Shaheen L, Liberman MC, Shinn-Cunningham BGS (**2014**). “Cochlear neuropathy and the coding of supra-threshold sound”. Frontiers in Neuroscience 8, article 26.
- Plack CJ, Barker D, Prendergast G (**2014**). “Perceptual consequences of ‘hidden’ hearing loss”. Trends in Hearing 18: 1-11.

Diagnosing HHL in humans

Dr. Joaquin Valderrama (joaquin.valderrama@nal.gov.au)

National Acoustic Laboratories

Dpt of Linguistics, Macquarie University

Sydney, 30th of November, 2019

- **Why is it important?**
  - ✓ Audiologists
  - ✓ Society
  - ✓ Industry

- **What are the main indicators?**
  - ✓ Auditory Brainstem Responses (ABR)
  - ✓ Envelope Following Responses (EFR)

- **What are the obstacles?**
  - ✓ Intersubject variability
  - ✓ Lack of validation

Auditory Brainstem Responses (ABR) – Hypotheses



Central gain activation as indicator of cochlear neuropathy





Envelope Following Responses (EFR) – Test



FFT








Quiet - Simulation
2
0
-2
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
Time (ms)


Quiet - Simulation
F0 = 90 Hz
F1 = 2*F0
1
0.5
0
0
300
600
900
1200
1500
Frequency (Hz)


Real situation [SNR -30 dB]
40
20
0
-20
-40
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
Time (ms)


Real situation [SNR -30 dB]
1
0.5
0
0
300
600
900
1200
1500
Frequency (Hz)

Hypothesis


100% Modulation index [0 dB]
Expected response
-4 Modulation index
Expected response
-8 dB Modulation index
Expected response
Time (ms)
Frequency (Hz)


EFR hypothesis
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
|Amplitude FFT bin|
-12
-8
-4
0
Modulation index (dB)
Control
HHL

JARO 16: 727–745 (2015)
DOI: 10.1007/s10162-015-0539-3

JARO
Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology

Research Article

Towards a Diagnosis of Cochlear Neuropathy with Envelope Following Responses

Luke A. Shaheen,[1,2] Michelle D. Valero,[2,3] and M. Charles Liberman[1,2,3]





Individual Differences Reveal Correlates of Hidden Hearing Deficits

Hari M. Bharadwaj,[1,2] Salwa Masud,[1,2] Golbarg Mehraei,[1,3] Sarah Verhulst,[1,4] and Barbara G. Shinn-Cunningham[1,2]





STAMPER AND JOHNSON / EAR & HEARING, VOL. 36, NO. 2, 172–184

Auditory Function in Normal-Hearing, Noise-Exposed Human Ears







Auditory Brainstem Response Altered in Humans With Noise Exposure Despite Normal Outer Hair Cell Function

Naomi F. Bramhall[1], **Dawn Konrad-Martin**[1,2], **Garnett P. McMillan**[1], and **Susan E. Griest**[1,2]



Research Paper

Hearing Research 365 (2018) 36–48

Effects of lifetime noise exposure on the middle-age human auditory brainstem response, tinnitus and speech-in-noise intelligibility

Joaquin T. Valderrama [a, b, c, *], Elizabeth Francis Beach [a, c], Ingrid Yeend [a, b, c], Mridula Sharma [b, c], Bram Van Dun [a, c], Harvey Dillon [a, c]





Trends in Hearing
Volume 21: 1–18

DOI: 10.1177/2331216517737417
journals.sagepub.com/home/tia
SAGE

Loud Music Exposure and Cochlear Synaptopathy in Young Adults: Isolated Auditory Brainstem Response Effects but No Perceptual Consequences

John H. Grose[1], Emily Buss[1], and Joseph W. Hall III[1]







Effects of Recreational Noise on Threshold and Suprathreshold Measures of Auditory Function

Angela N.C. Fulbright, Au.D., Ph.D.,[2] Colleen G. Le Prell, Ph.D.,[1] Scott K. Griffiths, Ph.D.,[2] and Edward Lobarinas, Ph.D.[1]





Research Paper

Effects of noise exposure on young adults with normal audiograms I: Electrophysiology

Garreth Prendergast [a, *], Hannah Guest [a], Kevin J. Munro [a, b], Karolina Kluk [a], Agnès Léger [a], Deborah A. Hall [c, d], Michael G. Heinz [e], Christopher J. Plack [a, f]



frontiers in Neuroscience

ORIGINAL RESEARCH
published: 01 September 2017
doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00465

Hidden Hearing Loss? No Effect of Common Recreational Noise Exposure on Cochlear Nerve Response Amplitude in Humans

*Sarah K. Grinn[1,2], Kathryn B. Wiseman[1], Jason A. Baker[1] and Colleen G. Le Prell[1]**



PLOS ONE

Toward a Differential Diagnosis of Hidden Hearing Loss in Humans

M. Charles Liberman[1,2,3], Michael J. Epstein[4], Sandra S. Cleveland[4], Haobing Wang[2], Stéphane F. Maison[1,2,3]*





SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Round-window delivery of neurotrophin 3 regenerates cochlear synapses after acoustic overexposure

Received: 11 January 2016
Accepted: 04 April 2016
Published: 25 April 2016

Jun Suzuki[1,2,3], Gabriel Corfas[4] & M. Charles Liberman[1,2]





Summary

- ✓ Diagnosing HHL in humans is a hot topic
  - ✓ Large variability of results
- ✓ There are some evidences of HHL in humans
- ✓ Diagnosing HHL is not easy
  - Animal models may differ from humans
  - Non-invasive methods are subject to many confounding variables
  - Lack of validation
- ✓ Future
  - Results replication
  - Explore new diagnosis methods

References

- Grose JH, Buss E, Hall JW (2017). Loud music exposure and cochlear synaptopathy in Young adults: Isolated auditory brainstem response effects but no perceptual consequences. Trends in Hearing 21, 1-18.
- Kujawa S, Liberman MC (**2009**) “Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after ‘temporary’ noise-induced hearing loss”. The Journal of Neuroscience 29(45): 14077-14085.
- Shaheen LA, Valreo MD, Liberman MC (**2015**). “Towards a diagnosis of cochlear neuropathy with envelope following responses”. Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology 16:727-745.
- Bharadwaj HM, Masud S, Mehraei G, Verhulst S, Shinn-Cunningham (**2015**). “Individual differences reveal correlates of Hidden Hearing Loss”. The Journal of Neuroscience 35(5): 2161-2172.
- Stamper GC, Johnson TA (**2015**). “Auditory function in normal-hearing, noise-exposed human ears”. Ear and Hearing 36(2): 172-184.
- Mehraei G, Hickox AE, Bharadwaj HM, Goldberg H, Verhulst S, Liberman MC, Shinn-Cunningham BG (**2016**). “Auditory brainstem response latency in noise as a marker of cochlear synaptopathy”. The Journal of Neuroscience 36 (13): 3755-3764.
- Liberman MC, Epstein MJ, Cleveland SS, Wang H, Maison SF (**2016**). “Toward a differential diagnosis of hidden hearing loss in humans”. PLOS One, doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0162726.

References

- Fullbright ANC, Le Prell CG, Griffiths SK, Lobarinas E (2017). Effects of recreational noise on threshold and suprathresholds measures of auditory function. Seminars in Hearing 38, 298-318.
- Prendergast G, Guest H, Munro KJ, Kluk K, Leger A, Hall DA, Heinz MG, Plack CJ (2017). Effects of noise exposure on Young adults with normal audiograms I: Electrophysiology. Hearing Research 344, 68-81.
- Grinn SK, Wiseman KB, Baker JA, LePrell CG (2017). Hidden hearing loss? No effect of common recreational noise exposure on cochlear nerve response amplitude in humans. Frontiers in Neuroscience 11, art 465, 24p.
- Bramhall NF, Konrad-Martin D, McMillan GP, Griest SE (2017). Auditory brainstem response altered in humans with noise exposure despite normal outer hair cell function. Ear and Hearing 38, e1-e12.
- Valderrama JT, Beach EF, Yeend I, Sharma M, Van Dun B, Dillon H (2018). Effects of lifetime noise exposure on the middle-age human auditory brainstem response, tinnitus and speech-in-noise intelligibility. Hearing Research 365, 36-48.